

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.4118 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-106 Year-2020 Thana- SAHPUR District- Patna

RAVI RANJAN PRAKASH @ PAPPU KUMAR, SON OF JAGDISH PRASAD YADAV @ JAGDISH PRASAD SINGH RESIDENT OF KHARANJA ROAD TARACHAK, P.S.- DANAPUR, DISTRICT PATNA

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Manoranjan Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Nawal Kishore Pd., APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

2 23-03-2021 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 395 and 397 of the Indian Penal Code.

As per FIR, 6 unknown persons have forcibly taken away the I-20 Hyundai car, cash of Rs.14,000/-, Debit card, Credit card as well as ID of the office of the informant.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that he is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. Petitioner is not named in the FIR and his name has surfaced in



this case on his self-confessional statement. It is further submitted that nothing was recovered from possession of the petitioner. No TIP has been made as yet despite being the fact that informant has claimed in the FIR that he would identify the accused persons, if they are brought before him. Petitioner is in custody since 16.03.2020.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner named above be released on bail upon furnishing bail bond of Rs. 20,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned court below where the case is pending in connection with Shahpur P.S. Case No. 106 of 2020, with following conditions:-

(1) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned.

(2) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the court below.

(3) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of



bail of the petitioner.

(S. Kumar, J)

Sanjay/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

