

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.36695 of 2020**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-29 Year-2020 Thana- SAHARGHAT District-
Madhubani

=====

Ramshresth Sah S/o Late Dukhi Sah Resident of Village- Raima, P.S.-
Saharghat, Distt- Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Vikramdeo Singh
Mr. Sanjay Kumar, Advocates
For the State : Mr. Amit Kumar Rakesh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN
ORAL ORDER

3 20-02-2021 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State. Learned counsel for the petitioner has filed an
undertaking to remove all defects pointed out by the Stamp
Reporter as and when required. It is accordingly directed that all
defects pointed out by the Stamp Reporter be removed within
one month hereof.

2. The petitioner is in custody since 06.07.2020 in
connection with Saharghat P.S. Case No. 29 of 2020 for the
offences alleged under Sections 272, 273, 279, 427, 34 of the
Indian Penal Code and Section 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and
Excise Act, 2016.

3. It is submitted that the petitioner has been falsely
implicated in connection with recovery of 2160 litres of Nepali
country made wine. It is submitted that the petitioner is said to



be the owner of the vehicle from which the recovery has been made but the petitioner was not arrested at the spot. Co-accused Umesh Yadav who is said to have escaped from the vehicle but apprehended later has been granted bail by the learned court below on 01.07.2020. The petitioner claims clean antecedents.

4. Learned APP appears and has been heard.

5. Be that as it may and having regard to the period of custody already suffered since 06.07.2020, let the petitioner above named be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/-(ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned 2nd Additional District and Sessions Judge cum Special Judge, Excise Act, Madhubani in connection with Saharghat P.S. Case No. 29 of 2020, if he is not otherwise required in any other case.

6. Office shall follow-up to ensure that all defects are removed and compliance with the notices of this Court are made by the petitioner within the stipulated time provided in para-1 hereinabove, failing which the matter shall be brought to the notice of this Court.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Ibrar//-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

