

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.36884 of 2020**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-407 Year-2019 Thana- PATORI District-
Samastipur

=====

Bajrangi Rai @ Bajrangi Ray, Son Of Prahlad Ray @ Loha Singh Resident
Of Village- Village Dakshini Dumri (Chapra), P.S.- Shahpur Patori, District-
Samastipur.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Mahendra Pratap, Advocate

For the State : Mr. Jagdhar Prasad, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL ORDER

3 20-02-2021

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State. Learned counsel for the petitioner has filed an
undertaking to remove all defects pointed out by the Stamp
Reporter as and when required. It is accordingly directed that all
defects pointed out by the Stamp Reporter be removed within
one month hereof.

2. The petitioner is in custody since 24.07.2020 in
connection with Patori P.S. Case No. 407 of 2019 for the offences
alleged under Sections 272, 273 of the Indian Penal Code and
Section 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. It is submitted that the petitioner has been falsely
implicated in connection with recovery of illicit liquor. It is
submitted that the allegation of recovery of 3000 litres of country



made liquor is not supported from the seizure list which discloses the seizure of only 300 litres of country made liquor. It is further submitted that the allegation that 2700 litres spilled over during preparation is mere embellishment.

4. Learned APP appears and opposes the bail petition on the basis of case diary.

5. Be that as it may and having regard to the period of custody already suffered since 24.07.2020, let the petitioner above named be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/-(ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned 2nd Additional Sessions Judge cum Special Judge Excise, Samastipur in connection with Patori P.S. Case No. 407 of 2019, if he is not otherwise required in any other case.

6. Office shall follow-up to ensure that all defects are removed and compliance with the notices of this Court are made by the petitioner within the stipulated time provided in para-1 hereinabove, failing which the matter shall be brought to the notice of this Court.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Ibrar//-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

