

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.36911 of 2020

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-136 Year-2020 Thana- BAUSI District- Purnia

=====

ABHISHEK YADAV, SON OF DAYARAM YADAV RESIDENT OF
VILLAGE- KORLI MAJGAMA THANA KEYOTI DISTRICT
DARBHANGA

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. N.K.Agrawal, Sr. Advocate Mr. Ram Pravesh Kumar, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Rabindra Kumar, APP.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN
ORAL ORDER

2 05-02-2021 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned

APP for the State. Learned counsel for the petitioner has filed an
undertaking to remove all the defects pointed out by the Stamp
Reporter as and when required. It is accordingly directed that all
defects pointed out by the Stamp Reporter be removed within
one month hereof.

2. The petitioner is in custody since 11.06.2020 in
connection with Baisi P.S. Case No. 136 of 2020 giving rise to
Special Excise Case No. 237 of 2020 for the alleged offences
under Sections 272 and 273 of the Indian Penal Code and
Sections 30(a), 41 and 47 of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise
Act, 2016.

3. It is submitted that the petitioner has been falsely
implicated in connection with recovery of 694.080 litres of
wine from a pick-up van. The petitioner denies recovery of the
offending goods from his conscious possession. In any event,



the petitioner has already suffered custody for more than seven months since 11.06.2020, and claims clean antecedents.

4. Learned APP appears and has been heard.

5. Be that as it may and having regard to the period of custody already suffered since 11.06.2020, let the petitioner above named be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/-(ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Special Judge, Excise, Purnea in connection with Baisi P.S. Case No. 136 of 2020 giving rise to Special Excise Case No. 237 of 2020, if he is not otherwise required in any other case.

6. Office shall follow-up to ensure that all defects are removed and compliance with the notices of this Court are made by the petitioner within the stipulated time provided in para 1 hereinabove, failing which the matter shall be brought to the notice of this Court.

(Vikash Jain, J)

HR/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

