

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.36670 of 2020

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-44 Year-2019 Thana- MAHILA P.S District- Supaul

=====

PANKAJ KUMAR ALIAS PANKAJ MANDAL SON OF SUBHASH
MANDAL RESIDENT OF VILLAGE- HARDI, WARD NO. 01, POLICE
STATION AND DISTRICT- SUPAUL.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. RUBI DEVI WIFE OF PANKAJ KUMAR @ PANKAJ MANDAL D/O
SRILAL MANDAL RESIDENT OF VILLAGE- JORHANIYA, PS AND
DISTRICT- SUPAUL.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arun, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Bal Mukund Pd. Sinha, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

4 25-10-2021 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Mahila P.S. Case No. 44 of 2019 registered under Sections
341, 498(A), 323, 504 and 494/34 of the Indian Penal Code and
under Section 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act pending in the
Court of S.D.J.M., Supaul.

Submission of learned counsel for the petitioner is
that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in
this case. There is general and omnibus allegation against the
petitioner.

Learned A.P.P. appearing on behalf of the State

opposed the prayer of the petitioner by contending that the petitioner is the husband of opposite party no. 2. There is specific allegation of demand of dowry and torture against the petitioner. Further submission is that petitioner is married to another lady. Hence, this is not a fit case for anticipatory bail.

Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, prayer of the petitioner is rejected.

(Arvind Srivastava, J)

utkarsh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--