

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.36381 of 2020

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-103 Year-2020 Thana- CHAKIA District- East Champaran

=====

Ravi Prakash @ Laddu Singh Son of Chandeshwar Singh R/o Village -
Ramihida, P.S. - Chakia, District- East Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Prateek Tandon, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL ORDER

4 22-03-2021 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State. Learned counsel for the petitioner has filed an
undertaking to remove all defects pointed out by the Stamp
Reporter as and when required. It is accordingly directed that all
defects pointed out by the Stamp Reporter be removed within
one month hereof.

2. The petitioner is in custody since 04.07.2020 in
connection with Chakia P.S. Case No. 103 of 2020 for the alleged
offences under Sections 363, 366(A), 376 and 120(B) of the
Indian Penal Code and Section 4 of the POCSO Act.

3. It is submitted that the petitioner has been falsely
implicated in connection with rape allegedly committed upon the
victim. It is submitted that according to the statement of the
victim girl recorded under Section 164 Cr. P.C., she was forcibly
confined in the house by one Neelu Devi where the petitioner
came with Prabhat Kumar. The petitioner allegedly prohibited
the victim from going to her house, after which, rape is said to
have been committed by Prabhat Kumar. The accused persons
then took her and left her in a field. The petitioner has suffered



more than eight months in custody since 04.07.2020 and claims clean antecedents.

4. Learned APP appears and has been heard.

5. Having regard to the nature of accusations, gravity of offence alleged and considering the role of the petitioner as well as the medical report which discloses the age of the victim girl between 15-16 years, this Court is not inclined to grant the privilege of bail to the petitioner. The bail petition stands dismissed.

6. Office shall follow-up to ensure that all defects are removed and compliance with the notices of this Court are made by the petitioner within the stipulated time provided in para 1 hereinabove, failing which the matter shall be brought to the notice of this Court.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Chandran/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

