

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
(FROM RESIDENTIAL OFFICE VIA VIDEO APPLICATION)
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.36406 of 2020**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-37 Year-2020 Thana- BAHADURGANJ District- Kishanganj

1. RUKSAAD ALAM ALIAS MD RUKSHAD ALAM ALIAS RUQUSHAD
ALAM Son of Nazim Akhtar Resident of Village-Mojabari Ward No. 13,
P.S. Kochadhaman, District-Kishanganj.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ram Prawesh Kumar,Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Surendra Prasad Singh,APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

9 12-07-2021 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr.
Surendra Prasad Singh, learned APP for the State.

The petitioner, in the present case, is seeking regular
bail in connection with Bahadurganj P.S. Case No. 37 of 2020
registered for the offence under Section 394 of the Indian Penal
Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
allegation against the petitioner is that he is involved in loot and
in course of loot he had fired upon the informant. It is alleged
that he was apprehended on the spot with firearms.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the
present case. The petitioner is in custody since 18.03.2020.

Learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer for



regular bail of the petitioner.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case wherein this Court has noticed from the materials placed before it that this petitioner had been allegedly involved in loot and he had also fired upon the informant and was ultimately caught on the spot, he has also criminal antecedents of three cases, in the nature of the materials placed before this Court that he was arrested on spot with firearm and in course of loot he had fired also causing injury, this Court is not inclined to release the petitioner on bail at this stage. The prayer for regular bail of the petitioner is, thus, refused.

Let the trial be expedited. All endeavours be made to conclude the trial within a period of nine months from the date of start of normal functioning of the Court and if the trial remains unconcluded within a period of nine months for no reason attributable to the petitioner, he may renew his prayer for bail.

The application stands disposed of accordingly.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

SUSHMA2/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

Note: The ordersheet duly signed has been attached with the record. However, in view of the present arrangements, during Pandemic period all concerned shall act on the basis of the copy of the order uploaded on the High Court website under the heading 'Judicial Orders Passed During The Pandemic Period'.

