

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.48839 of 2021**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-53 Year-2020 Thana- GAYA MUFASIL District-
Gaya

=====

Lankesh Kumar Anuj @ Anuj Kumar @ Anuj Kumar Lankesh Son Of Tara
Ram @ Tara Ram Turi R/O Village- Gandhi Nagar, P.S.- Mufasil, District-
Gaya

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Sudhir Kumar Sinha, Advocate

For the State : Mr. Akshay Lal Pandit, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL ORDER

2 01-09-2021

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State through video conference. Learned counsel for
the petitioner has filed an undertaking that all defects pointed
out by the stamp reporter shall be removed, and compliance with
the conditions of the notices of this Court with regard to
acceptance of e-filing shall be made, without delay immediately
upon resumption of normal physical functioning of the Court, and
in any event within one month thereof.

2. The petitioner, who is in custody since 14.02.2021,
has renewed his prayer for bail in connection with Muffasil P.S.
Case No. 53 of 2020 for the offences alleged under Section
392/34 of the Indian Penal Code, having earlier been rejected by
order dated 05.02.2021 in Cr. Misc. No. 32188 of 2020.



3. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in a subsequent development, similarly situated co-accused Arjun Sahani @ Arjun Shahni has been granted bail by this Court by order dated 23.06.2021 in Cr. Misc. No. 4092 of 2021 (Annexure-4).

4. Learned APP appears and has been heard.

5. Considering that similarly situated co-accused has been granted bail as also the period of custody already suffered since 14.02.2020, let the petitioner above named be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/-(ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Gaya in connection with Mufassil P.S. Case No. 53 of 2020, if he is not otherwise required in any other case.

6. Office shall follow-up to ensure that all defects are removed and compliance with the notices of this Court are made by the petitioner within the stipulated time provided in para-1 hereinabove, failing which the matter shall be brought to the notice of this Court.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Ibrar//-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

