

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.107 of 2021

=====

Krishandeo Pandey, Son of Bhikhari Pandey, Resident of Village-Dayalpur,
P.O.-Mirchayganj, P.S.-Nalanda, District-Nalanda.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Addl. Chief Secretary, Panchayat Raj Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Addl. Chief Secretary, Panchayat Raj Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Addl. Chief Secretary, Finance Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Director, Panchayat Raj Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
5. The District Magistrate, Nalanda, District-Nalanda.
6. The Zila Parishad, Nalanda through its Chief Executive Officer-cum-District Development Commissioner, Zila Parishad, Nalanda, District-Nalanda.
7. The Chief Executive Officer-cum-District Development Commissioner, Zila Parishad, Nalanda, District-Nalanda.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Sharda Nand Mishra, Advocate Mr. Deepak Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Mritunjay Kumar-2, AC to AAG-6

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI
ORAL JUDGMENT
Date : 27-10-2021

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and State.

2. Mr. Mritunjay Kumar-2, learned AC to AAG-6 accepts notice on behalf of respondent nos. 1 to 5. Service of notice to respondent no. 6 and 7 is dispensed with since no adverse order is based against those two respondents.

3. The petitioner has prayed for the following reliefs:-

*“For issuance of writ in the nature
of mandamus for direction to respondents for*



considering the case of the petitioner for grant of 1st, 2nd and 3rd ACP (MACP) in pursuance of the Government Circular 2010 and further issued direction to the respondents to pay the 1st, 2nd and 3rd MACP to the petitioner along with 11% interest till date of full and final payment to the petitioner and further issued direction to respondent grant the consequential benefit after considering for grant of ACP to the petitioner and further give other legal consequential benefits attach to the post.”

4. Grievance of the petitioner is limited to the extent of extending the benefit of MACP and that ACP of benefits. In this regard, he has submitted representation on 20.08.2020.

5. The respondent nos. 6 and 7 are hereby directed to examine the grievance of the petitioner and redress the same in accordance with law after passing a speaking order. While passing speaking order eligibility criteria and service particulars of the petitioner be taken note of. The above exercise shall be completed within a period of four months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

6. With the aforesaid observation, the writ petition stands disposed of.

(P. B. Bajanthri, J)

Vikash/-

AFR/NAFR	N/A
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	29.10.2021
Transmission Date	N/A

