

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8904 of 2020

Himanshu Shekhar Shukl @ Himanshu Shekhar Shukla, Son of Late Janardan Prasad Shukla, Training School Road, Durga Asthan, Forbesganj, P.S. Forbesganj, District Araria.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, Secondary Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Araria, P.S. Araria, District-Araria.
4. The Regional Officer, Central Board of Secondary Education, Raza Bazar, P.S. Shastrinagar, District-Patna.
5. Pradesh Sachiv, Lok Shiksha Samiti Bihar, Ambassador Palace, Kalem Bagh Chowk, P.S. Sadar, District Muzaffarpur, Bihar.
6. The President of the Managing Committee of Sri Rani Saraswati Vidya Mandir, Senior Secondary School, Koshi Colony, Hawaii Field, Forbesganj, P.S. Forbesganj, District-Araria.
7. The Secretary of the Managing Committee of Sri Rani Saraswati Vidya Mandir, Senior Secondary School, Koshi Colony, Hawaii Field, Forbesganj, P.S. Forbesganj, District-Araria.
8. The Principal, Sri Rani Saraswati Vidya Mandir, Senior Secondary School, Koshi Colony, Hawaii Field, Forbesganj, P.S. Forbesganj, District-Araria.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sunil Kumar Singh
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Prabhakar Jha, SC-27

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI SHARAN SINGH

ORAL ORDER

2 09-04-2021 The matter has been heard online because of Covid-19 pandemic restrictions.

2. The petitioner is assailing a communication dated 20.07.2020 in the present writ application filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, whereby Principal of a privately managed school has communicated to him a decision of the Managing Committee of the said school about



confirmation of termination of his service. The petitioner was working as *Karyalaya Pramukh* in the said school.

3. Apparently, the school in question is managed by a Managing Committee and according to the petitioner the same is affiliated to the Central Board of Secondary Education.

4. In my view, this writ application under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking relief against a private body cannot be maintained and is accordingly dismissed.

5. The petitioner shall be at liberty to question the correctness of the said decision before the appropriate forum in accordance with law.

(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J)

Rajesh/-

U			
---	--	--	--

