

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.34547 of 2020**

Arising out of PS. Case No.-153 Year-2020 Thana- BIDUPUR District- Vaishali

1. Ranjan Kumar @ Ravi Ranjan Kumar, (Male) aged about 19 years, son of Shri Ratnesh Kumar Yadav, resident of Village - Gopalpur, P.S. - Bidupur, District- Vaishali.
2. Vikky Kumar @ Vikram Kumar, (Male) aged about 19 years, son of Shri Ratnesh Kumar Yadav resident of Village - Gopalpur, P.S. - Bidupur, District- Vaishali.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

|                      |   |                                 |
|----------------------|---|---------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s | : | Mr. Ajay Kumar Thakur, Advocate |
| For the State        | : | Mr. Sanjay Kumar Sharma, APP    |
| For the Informant    | : | Mr. Yugal Kishore, Advocate     |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN AMANULLAH**  
**ORAL JUDGMENT**

**Date : 21-05-2021**

The matter has been heard *via* video conferencing.

2. Heard Mr. Ajay Kumar Thakur, learned counsel for the petitioners; Mr. Sanjay Kumar Sharma, learned Additional Public Prosecutor (hereinafter referred to as the 'APP') for the State and Mr. Yugal Kishore, learned counsel for the informant.

3. The petitioners apprehend arrest in connection with Bidupur PS Case No. 153 of 2020 dated 05.05.2020 instituted under Section 302/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

4. The allegation against the petitioners is of abusing the brother of the informant while he was passing in front of his house. Thereafter, it was alleged that co-accused Sanjay Rai assaulted by sword on the head due to which the brother of the



informant namely Ritesh Kumar died while going for treatment to PMCH.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that against them there is no specific overt act alleged except for abuse. It was submitted that incident had occurred in front of the house of the petitioners and it was the informant's side who were the aggressors and in such incident the mother of the petitioners had received gun-shot injury and was treated. It was submitted that she was treated at Bidupur Primary Health Centre and, thereafter, Sadar Hospital, Hajipur for which Bidupur PS Case No. 158 of 2020 was instituted on 07.05.2020. It was submitted that at 8 PM the incident is said to have occurred and there was no means of identification with regard to the persons who had committed the crime, if at all, it was committed and further that there is no mentioning how the mother of the deceased received bullet injury. Learned counsel submitted that even otherwise the informant party were the aggressors who had come to look for the uncle of the petitioners namely Sanjay Rai and tried to forcibly enter in the house and, thus, but naturally there was resistance from the side of the petitioners in which some incident has occurred, but they are protected by their right to self-defence.



6. Learned APP, from the case diary, submitted that six wounds have been found on the deceased; four on the head and two on other parts of the body, which clearly indicates that there was brutal assault by all the accused.

7. Learned counsel for the informant submitted that independent witnesses have stated that all the accused, including the petitioners, were mercilessly assaulting the deceased and six injuries having been found on him, which clearly indicates that the petitioners were also actively involved in the assault. Learned counsel submitted that a few days prior to the incident, which occurred at 9 PM on 02.05.2020, occurred for which Bidupur PS Case No. 152 of 2020 was instituted under Sections 341, 323, 324 and 307 of the Indian Penal Code and 27 of the Arms Act by the cousin of the deceased against the petitioners and other accused. It was submitted that in retaliation, the present incident has occurred. With regard to Bidupur PS Case No. 158 of 2020 lodged by the wife of Ratnesh Kumar Yadav, father of the petitioners, the occurrence is alleged to have taken place at 9.30 PM on 03.05.2020 whereas the present FIR is against the incident which happened at 8 PM on 03.05.2020 and, thus, the two are unconnected and the second case has no bearing in the present case, as the two incidents were two separate transactions. It was



submitted that the uncle of the petitioners, namely Sanjay Rai is also accused in other cases under serious sections and even the petitioners are accused in Bidupur PS Case No. 152 of 2020. Learned counsel submitted that Arun Kumar, who is an eye-witness and the informant; eye-witness Rahul Kumar; eye-witness Rambabu and eye-witness Alok Kumar @ Pintu have identified Sanjay Rai, Ranjan Kumar (petitioner no. 1), Sunny Kumar, Vicky Kumar (petitioner no. 2) and Mukesh Singh, as the persons who had brutally assaulted the deceased. He further submitted that Ram Naresh Singh, another eye-witness, has also identified three accused persons namely Sanjay Rai, Sunny Kumar and Mukesh Singh and has stated that there were two other persons, though not identified by him, but which clearly refers to the petitioners.

8. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case and submissions of learned counsel for the parties, the Court is not inclined to grant pre-arrest bail to the petitioners.

9. Accordingly, the application stands dismissed.

10. Interim protection granted to the petitioners under order dated 05.04.2021 stands vacated.

**(Ahsanuddin Amanullah, J)**

Vikash/-

|          |  |
|----------|--|
| AFR/NAFR |  |
| U        |  |
| T        |  |

