

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.33921 of 2020

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-159 Year-2020 Thana- RANIGANJ District- Araria

=====

Ravi Shanker Yadav @ Ravishanker, S/o Fanilal Yadav R/o Village- Jamun
Ghat, Ward No.9, P.S.- Raniganj, District- Araria.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Kundan Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Binod Kumar No.-3.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY

ORAL ORDER

3 26-02-2021 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

In the present case, the petitioner is seeking bail in connection with Raniganj P.S. Case No. 159 of 2020, registered for the offence punishable under Sections 395 and 397 the Indian Penal Code.

In the present case, the allegation has been made in the FIR that the informant and two other persons were plying three trucks for transportation of goods. When they arrived near Bagulaha at Raniganj, some unknown persons blocked the road by car and looted Rs. 10,000/- cash and mobile phone from the informant and broke the window of his truck. The accused persons also looted Rs. 10,000/- from another truck driver. Thereafter, after seeking the police personnel, they fled away in the car and the car was later on found near the canal in abandoned condition. One person, who was standing there,



disclosed the name of five other accused persons along with the petitioner. A voter card of Navneet Kumar and passbook of the present petitioner were found in the car.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has no concerned with the alleged swift car and the police has implicated the petitioner on the basis of suspicion and he is in custody since 04.06.2020 having no criminal antecedent.

Counsel for the State submits that the police has recovered a car which was involved in the commission of crime from where passbook of the petitioner has been recovered, which itself shows the close connectivity of the vehicle with the incident.

Looking to the entire facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner for the present.

Accordingly, the prayer for bail is rejected for the present.

However, the court below is directed to expedite the trial and conclude the same within a period of nine months.

(Shivaji Pandey, J)

V.K.Pandey/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

