

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.34215 of 2020

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-128 Year-2020 Thana- GOVERNMENT OFFICIAL COMP.
District- Aurangabad

=====

ABINASH MUNDA Son of Dhanraj Munda Resident of Village- Keri, P.S.-
Balumath, District- Latehar (Jharkhand).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Ms. Leelawati Kumari, Advocate
For the State Mr. S.N.Shukla, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN
ORAL ORDER

4 24-03-2021 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned

APP for the State. Learned counsel for the petitioner has filed an

undertaking to remove all the defects pointed out by the Stamp

Reporter as and when required. It is accordingly directed that all

defects pointed out by the Stamp Reporter be removed within

one month hereof.

2. The petitioner, who is in custody since 06.02.2020,

has renewed his prayer for bail in connection with Excise Case

No. 128 of 2020, having earlier been rejected by order dated

15.05.2020 in Cr. Misc. No.16594 of 2020 for the alleged

offences under Section 30(a) of Bihar Prohibition and Excise

Act, 2018.

3. It is submitted that the petitioner has been falsely

implicated in connection with recovery of 1470 litres of

country-made liquor from a bolero vehicle. The petitioner

denies recovery of the offending goods from his conscious

possession. In any event, the petitioner has already suffered



custody for more than one year, and claims clean antecedents.

4. Learned APP appears and has been heard.

5. Be that as it may and having regard to the period of custody already suffered since 06.02.2020, let the petitioner above named be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/-(ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Sessions Judge-VII-cum-Special Judge (Excise), Aurangabad (Bihar) in connection with Excise Case No.128 of 2020, if he is not otherwise required in any other case.

6. Office shall follow-up to ensure that all defects are removed and compliance with the notices of this Court are made by the petitioner within the stipulated time provided in para 1 hereinabove, failing which the matter shall be brought to the notice of this Court.

(Vikash Jain, J)

HR/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

