

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.22265 of 2018

=====

Ramesh Kumar Malo @ Ramesh Malo son of Ram Chandra Malo, Resident of Village - Lal Saraiya, Bangali Belni, Post- Lal Saraiya, P.S.- Majhulia, District - West Champaran, Bettiah The Execute Officer Lal Saraiya Fisherman refugee Co-Operative Society, Majhawalia, West Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Land Reform and Revenue Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate, West Champaran, Bettiah.
3. The District Fishing Officer-cum-Chief Executive-Officer, West Champaran, Bettiah.
4. The Principal Secretary, Disaster and Management Department, Government of Bihar.
5. The Director, Fishing Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
6. The Deputy Director, Regional Area Muzaffarpur, Fishing Department, Govt. of Bihar Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Subhro Sanyal, Advocate Mr.Dhaneshwar Prasad Gupta
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Md.Khurshid Alam, AAG-12

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

4 13-01-2021 Heard Mr. Subhro Sanyal, learned counsel assisted by Mr. Dhaneshwar Prasad Gupta, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mrs. Nutan Sahay, learned A.C. to A.A.G.12.

The present writ petition has been filed for the following reliefs :

For quashing letter no.145 fishing/Bettiah dated 06.03.,2009 issued by the District-Fishing Officer-cum-Chief Executive Officer, West Champaran, Bettiah by which the



Reserve Jama Revenue of the Karmawa Man Jalkar, Lal Baraiya Majhauriya-Block-West Champaran, Bettiah has been fixed Rs.93,960/- per year for the next five years which has been sent to the Director, Fishing, Bihar, Patna and communicated to the petitioner and also for directing the respondent authority to fix the Reserve Jama Revenue of the said Jalkar in accordance with law and as per earlier direction of this Hon'ble Court.

At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the petitioner has earlier filed a representation for redressal of his grievance. However, for the expeditious disposal of his grievance, petitioner propose to file a fresh representation before the respondent no.4.

The respondent is directed to hear the petitioner/petitioner's lawyer personally and pass the speaking and reasoned order.

In view of the above, in case such representation stating detail grievance is filed by the petitioner within a period of two weeks along with a copy of the relevant documents, judgment and order, if any, in support of his grievance, the above respondent shall consider the claim of the petitioner as raised in the representation in accordance with law expeditiously, preferably within a period of 45 days.



It is made clear that respondent no.4 would be solely responsible for non-compliance of this Court's order within the stipulated period as aforesaid.

Accordingly, this writ application is disposed of.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

Nasimul/-

U			
---	--	--	--

