

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.17023 of 2017

Pradeep Kumar Sah Son of Bharat Lal Sah, resident of Village- Lalpatti, Post Office- Baghala, Police Station- Triveniganj, District- Supaul.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary, General Administration Department, Government of Bihar, Old Secretariat, P
3. The Deputy Development Commissioner, Supaul.
4. The District Magistrate, Supaul.
5. The Deputy Collector Establishment, Supaul.
6. The Sub- Divisional Officer, Triveniganj, Supaul.
7. The District Selection Committee through its Chairman-cum- District Magistrate, Supaul.
8. The Commissioner, Koshi Division, Saharsa.

... .. Respondent/s

with

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 18192 of 2017

Dinesh Sardar Son of Mahbir Sardar Resident of Village- Champanagar, P.O. Koriyapatti, P.S. Jadiya, District- Supaul.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Principle Secretary, General Administration Department, Govt. of Bihar, Old Secretariat, Patna.
3. The Deputy Development Commissioner, Supaul.
4. The District Magistrate, Supaul.
5. The Deputy Collector Establishment, Supaul.
6. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Triveniganj, Supaul.
7. The District Selection Committee through its Chairman-Cum-District Magistrate, Supaul.
8. The Executive Officer, Murliganj Nagar, Panchayat Madhepura.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

(In Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 17023 of 2017)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sanjeet Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.



(In Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 18192 of 2017)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sanjeet Kumar, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr.Sheo Shankar Prasad SC-8

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY
ORAL ORDER

4 23-03-2021 Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the case of the petitioners is covered by the order dated 22.02.2021 passed by this Court in CWJC No. 16066 of 2017.

In view of the above, both the writ petitions are disposed of with the direction to the respondents to examine the claim of the petitioners and extend similar benefits to them if their cases on scrutiny are found to be similar to that of Anil Kumar Verma, petitioner of CWJC No. 16066 of 2017.

With the aforesaid, both the writ petitions stand disposed of.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

spandey/-

U			
---	--	--	--

