

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.13879 of 2021

Dr. Amit Kumar Singh, Son of Shree Ajit Kumar Singh, Resident of Village
and P.S.- Mohiuddin Nagar, District- Samastipur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Home,
Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate, Samastipur.
3. The Sub Divisional Officer, Patory, Samastipur.
4. The Circle Officer, Mohiuddin Nagar, District- Samastipur.
5. Ram Vriksh Sahani Son of Late Jhari Sahani Resident of Village- Mogal
Chak Manjha, Police Station- Mohiuddin Nagar, District- Samastipur.
6. Md. Akbar Ansari Son of Abdul Sattar Resident of Village- Karim Nagar,
Police Station- Mohiuddin Nagar, District- Samastipur.
7. Md. Jahangir Alam Son of Md. Nizamuddin Resident of Village- Karim
Nagar, Police Station- Mohiuddin Nagar, District- Samastipur.
8. The Bihar Rajay Dharmik Nayas, Parshad, Patna, Vidayapati Marg, Patna-
800001.
9. Superintendent of Police, Samastipur.
10. S.H.O., Mohiuddin Nagar, District- Samastipur.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Deepak Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the State : Mr. Sajid Salim Khan, SC 25

For the Respondent No.8: Mr. Ganpati Trivedi, Sr. Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date : 23-08-2021

Petitioner has prayed for the following relief(s):-

“(i) For issuance of writ/writs in the nature of



mandamus commanding the Respondents to immediately released the Govt. land (Hindu Religious Trust Board), which has been illegally encroached by the Respondent No. 5 to 7.

(ii) For commanding the Respondents as to why the respondent No. 5 to 7 has encroached the public land which has been illegally possessed by themselves.

(iii) For any other writ/writs, direction/directions, order/orders, as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper as the facts and circumstances of this case.”

Shri Ganpati Trivedi, learned senior counsel, who appears on behalf of Respondent No. 8, states that the respondents have already initiated action against the private respondent Nos. 5, 6 and 7.

Shri Sajid Salim Khan, learned Standing Counsel No. 25, states that the action for removal shall also be initiated, if so required in law against the said private respondents.

In any event, since the action only stands initiated, we need not keep the petition pending, save and except, direct the process be expedited and completed positively within a period of six months from the date of production of a copy of this order.



The present petition stands disposed of.

Interlocutory application(s), if any, shall stand
disposed of.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(S. Kumar, J)

Sujit/Amrendra

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	02.09.2021
Transmission Date	

