

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.37359 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-842 Year-2018 Thana- SIWAN COMPLAINT CASE District-
Siwan

=====

NASRULLAH @ MD. NASRULLAH, Son of Sanaullah Resident of Village-
Jalalpur, Police Station- Revelganj, District- Saran (Chapra).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. THE STATE OF BIHAR
2. Sabina Praveen, Wife of Nasrullah, Daughter of Ali Hazrat At present
resident of Village- Mirchaiya Sah Takiya, Police Station- Siwan Town,
District- Siwan.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sachida Nand Rai
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Murli Dhar

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

6 23-08-2021 Heard Mr. Bashishtha Narayan Mishra, learned

advocate for the petitioner and Mr. Javed Aslam, learned

counsel for the Opposite Party No. 2. The State is

represented by Mr. Murli Dhar, learned APP.

The petitioner, who is the husband of Opposite

Party No. 2, seeks bail in anticipation of his arrest in

connection with Complaint Case No. 842 of 2018 (Trial

No. 985 of 2019), in which cognizance has been taken

under Section 498(A) of the Indian Penal Code.

The records of this case were transferred to the



Mediation Centre for an amicable settlement between the spouses. The report of the Mediator is on record, which indicates that the spouses have settled their differences.

Pursuant to the aforesaid agreement between them before the Mediator, necessary sequel action has been taken by the petitioner.

Mr. Javed Aslam, learned Advocate for the Opposite Party No. 2, however, has no instructions in this regard.

Mr. Basishtha Narayan Mishra, learned advocate for the petitioner, however, states that if anything is left to be done, that shall also be done in the meanwhile. He, therefore, prays that the provisional bail granted to the petitioner be confirmed and he be allowed to remain on the same bail-bonds.

Considering the afore-mentioned arguments and taking into account that the dispute between the parties has been settled, the provisional bail granted to



the petitioner by order dated 20.06.2019 is, hereby, confirmed. The petitioner shall remain on same bail-bonds.

However, it is further made clear that if the petitioner does not adhere to the assurance given by him before the Mediator and which has been recorded in the report, it would be open for the complainant / Opposite Party No. 2 to move an appropriate application for cancellation of the anticipatory bail.

The petition stands disposed off accordingly.

(Ashutosh Kumar, J)

skm/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

