

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL APPEAL (SJ) No.1929 of 2020

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-139 Year-2019 Thana- SASARAM MUFFSIL District- Rohtas

BHODOO YADAV @ RAKESH YADAV Son of Ram Adhar Yadav Resident
of Village - Bishrampur, P.S.- Sasaram (Muffasil), District - Rohtas (Bihar).
... .. Appellant

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Respondent

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr.Bijay Prakash Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Sadanand Paswan, Spl.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

3 22-02-2021 Heard learned counsel for the appellant and Mr.
Sadanand Paswan, learned Spl.P.P. for the State.

The appellant in the present case is seeking set aside of the order dated 13.07.2020 passed by learned 1st Additional District & Sessions Judge, Sasaram at Rohtas in Registration No. 100 of 2019 arising out of Sasaram Muffasil P.S. Case No. 139 of 2019 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 302, 201, 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 3(2) (v) of the Scheduled Caste and Scheduled Tribe (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 by which regular bail of the appellant has been rejected.

Learned counsel for the appellant submits that as per the prosecution story, the informant alleged that his cousin was called by Parsuram Singh at the bridge and after some interaction other named accused persons arrived there and this



petitioner is said to have fired on informant's cousin Jitendra Nut which proved fatal.

Learned counsel submits that the appellant has falsely been implicated in the present case and is in custody since 19.08.2019.

Learned Spl.P.P. for the State has opposed the prayer for regular bail of the appellant.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, wherein this appellant has been named as main assailant and he seems to have indulged in the present crime while being on bail in connection with Sasaram Muffasil P.S. Case No. 107 of 2018, this Court is not inclined to grant privilege of regular bail to the appellant. Prayer for regular bail of the appellant is, thus, refused.

Let the trial be expedited.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

SUSHMA2/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

Note: The ordersheet duly signed has been attached with the record. However, in view of the present arrangements, during Pandemic period all concerned shall act on the basis of the copy of the order uploaded on the High Court website under the heading 'Judicial Orders Passed During The Pandemic Period'.

