

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.32155 of 2020

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-235 Year-2018 Thana- DARBHANGA RAIL P.S. District-
Samastipur

CHANDAN KUMARI GAMI Son of Kari Gami Resident of Village - Shahid
Chowk, Jai Nagar, P.S. - Jai Nagar, District - Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Abhay Shankar Singh, Adv
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. J.K.Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN
ORAL ORDER

3 11-01-2021 Learned learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State. Learned counsel for the petitioner has filed an
undertaking to remove all defects pointed out by the Stamp
Reporter as and when required. It is accordingly directed that all
defects pointed out by the Stamp Reporter be removed within
one month hereof.

2. The petitioner is in custody since 03.10.2018 in
connection with Rail Darbhanga P.S. Case No. 235 of 2018 for the
alleged offences under Section 394 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. It is submitted that the petitioner has been falsely
implicated in connection with looting of a purse containing Rs.
10,000/- from the informant's cousin Ram Karan Yadav from the
Darbhanga Railway Station and giving knife blow on his
abdomen. No recovery of any looted articles has been made from
the conscious possession of the petitioner and he has already
suffered more than two years in custody since his arrest on
03.10.2018.

4. Learned APP appears and opposes the bail petition,
inviting reference to the observations in the impugned order. It



has been stated that the knife in question was recovered on identification of the petitioner, so also the mobile and SIM was also recovered. The petitioner is identified in the test identification parade and also from his photograph. The petitioner has been accused in five prior cases, while two cases have been instituted after the present case.

5. Having regard to the nature of accusations and gravity of offence alleged, this Court is not inclined to grant the privilege of bail to the petitioner. The bail petition stands dismissed.

6. Office shall follow-up to ensure that all defects are removed and compliance with the notices of this Court are made by the petitioner within the stipulated time provided in para 1 hereinabove, failing which the matter shall be brought to the notice of this Court.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Chandran/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

