

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.3986 of 2016

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11385 of 2013

=====

Vikash Paswan, s/o Late Chamak Lal Paswan, resident of village- Laxmipur
Charrapatti, P.S Tikapatti, Dist- Purnea

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Pankaj Kumar Pal, the District Magistrate , Purnea
3. Pawan Kumar Mandal, S/o not known, The Sub-Divisional Officer,
Damdaha, Dist Purnea
4. Pankaj Kumar Pal, the District Magistrate, Purnea.
5. Murgandee, Son of not known, the District Magistrate, Purnea.
6. Ramshankar, Son of not known, the District Magistrate, Purnea.
7. Pankaj Kumar Pal, Son of not known, the District Magistrate, Purnea.
8. Ramshankar Sadan Lal Jamadar, Son of not known, the District Supply
Officer, Purnea.
9. Ajay Kumar Thakur, Son of not known, the District Supply Officer, Purnea.
10. Pawan Kumar Mandal, S/o not known, the S.D.O., Damdaha, Purnea

... .. Opposite Parties

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Vijay Anand, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. S. Raza Ahmad, AAG-5
For the O.P. No. 5	:	Mr. Abhinav Srivastava, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

17 08-12-2021 A sixth supplementary show cause has been filed on

behalf of the opposite party nos. 2 and 3 who are the District
Magistrate, Purnea and the Sub-Divisional Officer, Dhamdaha
in the district of Purnea respectively. Paragraph ‘5’ of the sixth
supplementary show cause is quoted hereunder for a ready
reference:-

“That it is humbly and
respectfully submitted that as there is no



fresh vacancy for P.D.S. license in Gram Panchayat-Laxmipur, Block-Rupouli, District-Purnea these respondents are unable to grant P.D.S. license to the petitioner. But it is certified that if fresh vacancy is created in future for P.D.S. license in Gram Panchayat-Laxmipur, Block-Rupouli, District-Purnea and the petitioner make an application for obtaining that P.D.S. license his application will definitely be duly and properly considered.”

Mr. S. Raza Ahmad, learned AAG-5 is representing opposite party nos. 2 and 3. Learned AAG-5 has categorically submitted before this Court that the undertaking which is recorded in paragraph ‘5’ of this sixth supplementary show cause shall be given effect to without any rider in future and the case of the petitioner shall be considered.

Mr. Vijay Anand, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner would be satisfied with the consideration of his name against the future vacancy.

In the aforesaid view of the matter, this contempt application is dropped and be taken as disposed of.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

vats/-

U			
---	--	--	--

Note: The ordersheet duly signed has been attached with the record. However, in view of the present arrangements, during Pandemic period all concerned shall act on the basis of the copy of the order uploaded on the High Court website under the heading ‘Judicial Orders Passed During The Pandemic Period’.

