

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.31231 of 2020

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-234 Year-2019 Thana- BALIYA District- Begusarai

=====

Ram Parvesh Kumar, Son of Sita Ram Mahto, Resident of Village -
Bhagatpur, P.S.- Balia, Dist. - Begusarai.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sabal Kumar Jha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Sangita Sharma, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

2 06-04-2021 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offence punishable under Sections 384, 387,
34 of the Indian Penal Code.

As per FIR, allegation against petitioner and other co-
accused persons is to have threatened the informant on mobile
phone to kill him and his family members, if he would not pay
ransom of Rs. 50,000/-.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that
he is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case due to
village politics. It has been further submitted that the occurrence
took place on 09.06.2019 but the FIR was lodged after delay of
16 days on 25.06.2019 without any satisfactory explanation.



Petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner named above be released on anticipatory bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 20,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned court below where the case is pending in connection with Balia P.S. Case No. 234 of 2019, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C with following conditions:-

- (1) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned.
- (2) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and his absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will be sufficient to cancel his bail bond.
- (3) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(S. Kumar, J)

Rajiv/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

