

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7986 of 2020

Sunil Furniture through its Proprietor, Sunil Mahto, male, aged about 33 years, Son of Kashawar Mahto, resident of village- Sarai, P.O. Maner, P.S.- Maner Sarai, District- Patna, at present residing at Mohalla- Bakarganj, at Shiv Mandir, P.S.- Kadamkuan, Patna- 800004, town and District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Regional Manager Syndicate Bank, Regional Office, Frazer Road, Patna- 800001.
2. The Branch Manager Syndicate Bank, Saidpur Branch, Maa Bhawani Palace, Opposite Uday Palace, Govind Mitra Road, Patna- 800004.
3. The Reserve Bank of India Through its General Manager, South Gandhi Maidan, Patna.
4. The Union of India Through the Director of Prime Minister Employment Generation Programme, Khadi and Village Commission, Ministry of Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises, Government of India, Gramodaya, 3rd IRLA Road, Vile Parle, West Mumbai- 400056.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Ajay Prasad, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Dr. K.N. Singh (Asg)

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date : 23-11-2021

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Petitioner has prayed for following relief:-

That this writ application is for quashing the letter dated 16.05.2019 (Annexure-1) issued by the respondent no.2, the Branch Manager, Syndicate Bank at Govind Mitra Road as well as letter dated 20.05.2019(Annexure-2) issued by respondent no.1, the Regional Manager, Syndicate Bank.

Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the present petition reserving liberty to approach the Canara Bank in accordance with law.

It is pointed out that now Syndicate Bank is merged with Canara Bank.

Prayer allowed. Liberty, as prayed for, is granted.

Without expressing any opinion on merits, leaving all questions of fact and law open, the present application is disposed of as withdrawn with the liberty aforesaid.

We expect that the appropriate authority shall consider and decide the petitioner's application/request expeditiously and preferably within a period of four weeks from the date of its presentation.

Needless to say that while considering such request, principles of natural justice shall be followed and due opportunity of hearing be afforded to the parties.

If aggrieved by the said order, the petitioner shall have liberty to approach this Court by way of separate petition(s), if so required and desired.

Interlocutory application, if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(S. Kumar, J)

Sanjay/-Ranjan

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	25.11.2021
Transmission Date	NA