

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.29886 of 2020

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-528 Year-2019 Thana- SIKARPUR District- West Champaran

ARMAN ANSARI S/o Bashir Ansari Resident of Village-Sonarpatti, Tola
Muhammadpur, P.S.-Shikarpur, District-West Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sanjeev Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. N. Tiwary, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 06-01-2021 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in Shikarpur P.S. Case No.
528 of 2019 instituted for the offences under Sections 376, 324,
307, 326 of the Indian Penal Code in which section 302 IPC was
added later on.

The prosecution allegation, in short, is that the
accused person established physical relation with the victim on
the assurance of the marriage and later started denying. Later,
the accused person entered into the house of the informant and
after pouring K. Oil, set fire on her due to which the victim
burned badly and later died during treatment.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that
the petitioner is in custody since 10.12.2019 and has got no



criminal antecedent. There is no allegation of tampering of witnesses alleged against the petitioner. Charge-sheet has been submitted in the present case. The petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case. There is a love affairs between the petitioner and the victim. From perusal of the F.I.R., it appears that the informant is not an eye witness to the alleged occurrence.

On behalf of the State, it is submitted that the petitioner is named in the F.I.R. There is specific allegation against the petitioner who had poured K. Oil on the body of the deceased and set fire. The post-mortem report also supports the allegation made in the F.I.R.

Considering the same, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. The same is rejected. The Trial Court is directed to conclude the trial at the earliest preferably within a period of nine months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

(Sudhir Singh, J)

Pankaj/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

