

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6126 of 2013

Sanjay Ram Son Of Late Jeeta Ram Of Village- Ubhawa Saraunja, P.O.
Rampur Rudra, P.S. Panapur, District- Saran, Chapra

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar and Ors
2. District Teachers Appellate Authority, Saran, Chapra
3. Mukhiya, Gram Panchayat Raj Chakia, P.O. Chakia, P.S. Panapur, District- Saran Chapra
4. Panchayat Sachiv, Gram Panchayat Raj Chakia, P.O. Chakia, P.S. Panapur, District- Saran Chapra
5. Radheyshyam Paswan Son Of Ambika Manjhi Of Village and P.O. Serukaha, P.S. Mashrakh, District- Saran
6. Kanhaiya Ram Son Of Raghunath Ram Of Village- Khajuri, P.O. Chakia, P.S. Panapur, District- Saran
7. Lalan Ram Son Of Shivji Ram Of Village and P.O. Chakia, P.S. Panapur, District- Saran
8. The Principal Secretary, Department of Vigilance, Government of Bihar, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Kapil Deo Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Gyan Prakash, Advocate
For Respondent No. 3	:	Mr. Jeetendra Narayan, Advocate
	:	Mr. Suresh Kumar, AC
	:	Mr. Anil Singh, Advocate
For Private Respondent	:	Mr. Binod Kr. Singh, Advocate
	:	Mr M.B.K. Singh, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 24-11-2021

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

In the instant petition, petitioner has prayed for following
relief/reliefs:

“(i) This writ petition is being filed for quashing order
dated 24.12.12 passed by Respondent No. 2 by which appeal



filed by petitioner has been erroneously rejected on ground of limitation as contained in annexure-1 to this petition.

(ii) Appropriate writ may be issued commanding the respondents to consider the appointment of petitioner on the post of Panchayat Teacher.

(iii) Any other relief/reliefs to which the petitioner may be found entitled from the facts and circumstances of the case.”

Pursuant to the advertisement issued in the year 2006 for the post of Panchayat Teacher, the petitioner was a candidate and he was not selected. He had filed an appeal in the year 2011 after lapse of five years. Appeal was rejected. Hence the present petition.

The petitioner has not made out a case so as to interfere with the subject matter only on the sole ground that there is inordinate delay of five years in filing appeal. That apart petitioner had a cause of action in the year 2006. For selection and appointment to any of the post/recruitment affected person should approach within a reasonable period of time and it would be three months. In the present case there is a delay of five years. Accordingly, the petitioner has not made out a case.

Hence, writ petition stands dismissed.

(P. B. Bajanthri, J)

GAURAV S./-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	27.11.2021
Transmission Date	NA

