

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.39251 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-747 Year-2018 Thana- BHABHUA District- Kaimur (Bhabua)

SONU RAJAK Son of Late Dhannu Rajak @ Late Chhunnu Rajak, Resident
of Village - Bhabua Ward No.- 24, P.S.- Bhabua, District - Kaimur at Bhabua.
... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rajani Kant Pandey, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Vinod Shankar Modi, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

3 08-09-2021 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State through virtual court proceedings.

The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the
offence punishable under Sections 302/34/120(B) of the Indian
Penal Code.

Vide order dated 04.08.2021 a report was called for
from the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge-IV, Kaimur
at Bhabua, which is kept at Flag 'P' in which it is stated that out
of 12 prosecution witnesses named in the charge sheet 06
witnesses have been examined, cross-examined and discharged.
The informant, the I.O and the doctor witnesses are yet to be
examined. This court has already issued non-bailable warrant of
arrest against the informant and other remaining private
witnesses of the charge sheet on 25.03.2021.

Considering the report of the learned court below, I



am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is rejected in connection with Sessions Trial No. 91/2019 arising out of Bhabua P.S. Case No. 747/2018 pending in the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge-IV, Kaimur at Bhabua and / or other transferee court.

Accordingly, this application is dismissed.

However, the trial court is directed to conclude the trial as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of 09 months from today and both the parties are directed to extend their full cooperation in early conclusion of the trial. If the trial is not concluded within the stipulated period, the petitioner would be at liberty to renew his prayer for bail.

At the same time, the S.P. of concerned district is directed to ensure the presence of witnesses in the court, so that the trial may be concluded within time limit given by the trial court. The concerned S.P. is also directed to produce the accused person as and when required by the trial court through virtual mode/Video conferencing.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

amitkumar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

