

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11846 of 2021

Manoj Kumar Singh District Chairman of the PACS Association Rohtas District, Male aged about 46 years, son of Late Bashishth Singh, Resident of Village - Maruan, Police Station- Dinara, District- Rohtas, (Sasaram).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Co-operative Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Registrar, Co-operative Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Bihar State Food and Civil Supplies, Corporation through its Managing Director, B.S.F.C.S.C., Patna.
4. The General Manager, Procurement Corporation , Main Office, Patna.
5. The District Magistrate, Rohtas (Sasaram).
6. District Manager, Bihar State Food and Civil Supplies Corporation Limited, District- Rohtas (Sasaram).
7. District Co-operative Officer, District- Rohtas (Sasaram).
8. Managing Director, The Sasaram - Bhabhua Central Co-operative Bank Limited, Sasaram.
9. Branch Manager, The Sasaram - Bhabhua Central Co-operative Bank Limited, District- Rohtas.
10. The Principal Secretary, Food and Consumers Department, Government of Bihar, Patna

.. ... Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Raj Kishore Singh
		Mr.Saroj Kumar
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Amit Prakash, GA-13
For BSFC	:	Mr. Shailendra Kumar Singh
For Cooperative Bank	:	Mr. Bindhyachal Rai

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI SHARAN SINGH

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD)

3 01-12-2021 At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to implead the Principal Secretary, Food and Consumers Department, Government of Bihar, Patna as



respondent No.10 in course of the day.

After some arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that copy of Annexure-8 being a representation for refund of rates has also been forwarded to the Secretary, Food and Consumers Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna (Respondent No.10). Limited grievance as per submission of petitioner's counsel is that the same has not been considered/disposed of.

In view of the limited issue raised, no adjudication is required in the matter and this application need not be kept pending. The petitioner will be at liberty to pursue the said representation before the newly added respondent No.10.

It is needless to say that respondent No.10 is required to consider the same and dispose it of expeditiously, in accordance with law.

It is made clear that the Court has not made any observation on merits of the petitioner's claim.

(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J)

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

arun/-

U			
---	--	--	--

