

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA  
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.36118 of 2021**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-353 Year-2020 Thana- NARPATGANJ District- Araria

=====

ANKIT RAJ S/O KUMAR PRABHAT @ TUDU YADAV R/o village- Kajo  
Mahto Tola, Toufir, P.S.- Muffasil, District- Munger

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Viveka Nandsingh, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. A.P.P.

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA  
ORAL ORDER**

2      07-12-2021                      Let the defects, as pointed out by the office, be  
  
removed within four weeks of starting of Court proceeding  
  
in physical mode in normal course.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the  
  
learned A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in connection with  
  
Narpatganj P.S. Case No. 353 of 2020 registered for the  
  
offence under Sections 392 of the Indian Penal Code.

A white colour Scorpio vehicle having Registration  
  
No. BR-07-PB-9326 is said to have been snatched by the four  
  
unknown persons from the informant.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner  
  
submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been



implicated in this case. In fact, the entire prosecution is against the unknown accused and on mere confessional statement of the co-accused, Banti Kumar @ Shivshankar Kumar, name of this petitioner has surfaced in this case. Nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. More particularly, the vehicle, which is said to be snatched, has already been recovered in an abandoned condition just after the alleged occurrence. A statement to that effect has been made in paragraph-10 of the petition. The petitioner has not been put on T.I.P. as yet. The petitioner is rotting in judicial custody since 11.11.2020.

Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that according to paragraph-3 of the petition, the petitioner owns three more cases other than the present one.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, let the, above named, petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Araria in connection with Narpatganj P.S. Case No. 353 of 2020 with the following conditions:-



(1) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his/her absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his/her bail bond shall be canceled by the Court below.

(2) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

**(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)**

braj/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

