

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No 9943 of 2020

Ranjeeta Verma W/o Chandan Kumar Mehta Resident of Mohalla-
Baburbanna, P.S.- Rahui, District- Nalanda, Sohsarai At, Nalanda, Proprietor
of Elit Garden Restorent

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through D.M. Nalanda, Biharsharif
2. The Director of DRDA Nalanda
3. The Chief Election Officer, Patna
4. The Dist. Election Officer, Nalanda
5. The Dy. Election Officer, Nalanda
6. The Nazir of Election Office, Nalanda
7. The Nazir of Bhuarjan Depart, Nalanda
8. The Treasury Officer, Nalanda

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr Manoj Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr Gyan Prakash Ojha, GA VII

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI SHARAN SINGH
and
HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI SHARAN SINGH)

4 **17-12-2021** This case has been taken up For Hearing online
because of COVID 19 Pandemic restrictions.

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

This writ application has been filed seeking direction



to the Respondent-Authorities for payment of the bills submitted by the petitioner for supply of food articles during the Parliamentary Elections, 2019. It is the petitioner's grievance that certain amount has been decided to be deducted unreasonably and without any basis.

Learned counsel appearing for the State of Bihar, on the basis of instructions which he has received, submits that a Committee has been constituted to verify the genuineness of the bills submitted by the petitioner. He states that the Respondent-Authorities shall pay to the petitioner the amount which is found admissible to her.

Considering the facts and circumstances, this writ application is disposed of at this stage itself with a direction to the District Magistrate, Nalanda to take a final decision on the petitioner's claim for payments against the bills submitted by her within two months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

It goes without saying that the amount, which is found admissible, must be paid to the petitioner forthwith. The petitioner shall, however, be at liberty to raise dispute before appropriate forum, if, according to her, the amount found admissible by the District Magistrate is less than her actual



entitlement.

(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J)

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

M.E.H./-

U			
---	--	--	--

