

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8362 of 2019

=====

Ram Swarath Sah S/o Sri Paras Nath Sah Resident of Village- Dashahari
Mohiuddin Nagar, P.S.- Mohaddi Nagar, District- Samastipur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Through the Secretary, Rural Works Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Secretary Rural Works Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Secretary Water Resources Development Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Chief Engineer Rural Works Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
5. The Superintending Engineer Rural Works Circle, Gaya, P.O.- Gaya, District- Gaya.
6. The Executive Engineer Rural Works Division, Gaya, P.O.- Gaya, District- Gaya.
7. The Accountant General Birchand Patel Path, Patna.
8. The Treasury Officer Patori, District- Samastipur.
9. The Treasury Officer Gaya, P.O.- Gaya, District- Gaya.
10. The Manager State Bank of India, Patori, Samastipur.
11. The General Provident Fund Officer Gaya P.O.- Gaya, District- Gaya.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Bamdeo Pandey
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Ajay (GA-5)
For Accountant General	:	Mr. Binod Kumar Labh
For the Bank	:	Mr. Satya Vrat

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI SHARAN SINGH

ORAL ORDER

6 20-07-2021 This matter has been taken up for hearing online because of COVID-19 pandemic restrictions.

2. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

3. The petitioner retired from the post of Junior Engineer with effect from 31.01.2015. He has filed the present



writ application seeking direction to the State respondents to pay post retiral dues with interest on the ground that pension and other retiral dues have not been paid since long.

4. It, however, appears from an order passed by this Court dated 24.07.2017 in CWJC No. 12211 of 2015 that the petitioner had earlier approached this Court seeking direction for payment of post retiral benefits and other reliefs. The petitioner had, however, in that case confined his prayer in relation to payment of post retiral dues.

5. This Court, by the aforesaid order dated 24.07.2017 had disposed of the writ application with a direction to the respondent to pay admitted retiral dues to the petitioner within a period of three months. It appears that the petitioner has been paid post retiral dues. There was no direction in the earlier order for payment of interest for delayed payment of retiral dues.

6. The second writ application seeking same relief, in the Court's opinion, cannot be entertained and is, accordingly, rejected.

(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J)

arun/-

U			
---	--	--	--

