

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.33392 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-199 Year-2015 Thana- CHHAURADANO District- East
Champaran

=====

Lalu Miya @ Lallu Miya S/O- Jamaluddin Miya @ Bangali Miya Resident of
Village - Pipra, P.S. - Darpa, District - East Champaran, Motihari.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rajesh Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.M.K.Nirala

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 02-09-2021 Heard learned counsel for the parties through video
conferencing.

The petitioner seeks bail in Chhauradano P.S. Case
No. 199 of 2015, registered for the offence under Section 387 of
the Indian Penal Code.

As per the prosecution case, Rupees Ten Lacs ransom
was demanded from the informant through mobile no. 91-
7282091629 with threatening to face consequences like *Seth*
Pannalal, if the same was not paid.

Petitioner is no named in the FIR. Name of the
petitioner has come during course of investigation only on
suspicion. The petitioner has been remanded in this case on
20.02.2021 from other case of ransom. Similarly situated co-
accused namely Sanjay Kumar and Umesh Kumar have already
been granted bail by this Court, vide orders annexed at



Annexure – 2 to the petition. It is further submitted that neither the petitioner demanded any ransom nor the mobile number, as alleged in the FIR, belong to this petitioner.

Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the bail petition.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the bail petition of petitioner is allowed. Let the above named petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned S.D.J.M., Raxaul, East Champaran at Motihari in connection with Chhauradano P.S. Case No. 199 of 2015, on the following conditions:

“(1) Petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court below and shall remain physically present, as directed by the court below, and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail-bond shall be cancelled by the court below.

(2) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.”

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J.)

anay

U		T	
---	--	---	--

