

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.34924 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-176 Year-2020 Thana- SHIVSAGAR District- Rohtas

Nanhak Sharma Son of Late Harihar Sharma R/O Village- Dihra, P.S.-
Shivsagar, Dist.- Rohtas at Sasaram

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Raghunandan Kumar Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs.Rita Verma,APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2. 07-09-2021 Heard learned counsel for the parties through video conferencing.

The petitioner seeks bail in Shivsagar P.S. Case No. 176 of 2020, registered for the offence under Section 25(1-b)a / 26 of the Arms Act.

On a secret information, a raid was conducted in the house of the petitioner and during search, several articles relating to manufacturing of rifle and country-made pistol have been recovered.

It is submitted on behalf of petitioner that nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. Petitioner is a blacksmith by profession and no fire-arm has been recovered from his house. Petitioner is in custody since 22.06.2020. Investigation is complete.



Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the bail petition.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the bail petition of petitioner is allowed. Let the above named petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-cum-Sub Judge – VI, Rohtas at Sasaram in connection with Shivsagar P.S. Case No. 176 of 2020, on the following conditions:

“(1) Petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court below and shall remain physically present, as directed by the court below, and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail-bond shall be cancelled by the court below.

(2) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.”

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J.)

anay

U		T	
---	--	---	--

