

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.33925 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-308 Year-2018 Thana- ADAPUR District- East Champaran

Sandeep Patel @ Sandeep Kumar, son of Sheshnah Patel Resident of Village -
Kalwari Majhariya, P.S.- Adapur, Distt.- East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Ms. Ranjana Srivastava

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Veena Kumari Jaiswal

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

3 29-09-2021 The petitioner, who is in custody since

20.05.2019, has approached this Court to renew his

prayer for bail in a case registered for the offences

punishable under Sections 304(B)/34 of the Indian

Penal Code.

Earlier, the prayer for bail of the petitioner was

rejected by this Court vide order dated 23.01.2020

passed in Cr. Misc. No. 65618 of 2019.

The petitioner is husband of the deceased.

It is alleged in the F.I.R that the petitioner

along with other family members, has killed the

daughter of the informant due to non-fulfillment of



dowry demand. In the postmortem report, the cause of death has been stated to be ligature and death due to strangulation.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that petitioner is innocent and he has been implicated in this case only because he happens to be the husband of the deceased. Moreover, the petitioner is in custody since 20.05.2019.

It appears that vide order dated 04.08.2021, a report was called for with regard to the present stage of the trial. The report has been received and it has been kept as Flag-A.

The report of the learned Additional District & Sessions Judge, XVI, East Champaran, Motihari reflects that some of the witnesses are left to be examined.

Considering the fact that petitioner is husband of the deceased and the deceased died within one and half years of her marriage and cause of death is strangulation, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to



the petitioner, for the present. Accordingly, the prayer
for bail of the petitioner is rejected.

However, the Trial Court is directed to expedite
the trial and conclude the same within one year from the
date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

(S. Kumar, J)

Shageer/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

