

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.31743 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-128 Year-2020 Thana- GOVERNMENT OFFICIAL COMP.
District- Muzaffarpur

=====

GUDDU DAS @ RAVISHANKAR DAS Son of Nand Kishore Das Resident
of Village - Rampur Jaipal Ward No. 14, P.S. - Bochha, District -
Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Priyesh Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs. Asha Devi, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 26-08-2021 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the State.

Petitioner seeks regular bail in a case registered for
the offence punishable under Section 30 (a) of the Bihar
Prohibition & Excise Act.

As per the prosecution case, 1146.960 liters of foreign
liquor was recovered from the house of Ramchandra Ram. This
petitioner is alleged to have fled away from the place of
occurrence.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits
that petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the
case. No incriminating article has been recovered from the
conscious possession of the petitioner and he is no way
concerned with the alleged recovery. The recovery is made from
the house of co-accused, Ramchandra Ram. Petitioner claims



clean antecedent and is in custody since 24.02.2021

Learned counsel appearing for the State opposes the prayer for bail.

Considering the facts of the case and the period of custody of the petitioner, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Special Judge, Excise Act, Muzaffarpur in connection with Excise case No. 128/2020 on the following conditions:-

(1) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his/ her absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his/her bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(2) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

BKS/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

