

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 9427 of 2021

=====

M/s Anita Devi a proprietorship firm with proprietor Anita devi having its place of business at NA, Ramdhri, Nowagorhi, Munger through its authorised representative namely Shashi Bhushan Kumar male aged about 43 years son of Ram Ratan Prasad resident of Maniyar Chak, Nauagarhi, Munger - 811211.

..... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary cum Commissioner, Department of State Taxes, Government of Bihar, Patna.

2. The Additional Commissioner Of State Taxes (Appeals), Bhagalpur Division, Bhagalpur

3. The Joint Commissioner of State Taxes, Munger Circle, Munger.[March – 2019]

..... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Gautam Kumar Kejriwal, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Vivek Prasad, G.P. 7

Mr. Vikash Kumar, S.C. 11

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

=====

(The proceedings of the Court are being conducted by Hon’ble the Chief Justice/ Hon’ble Judges through Video Conferencing from their residential offices/residences. Also, the Advocates and the Staffs joined the proceedings through Video Conferencing from their residences/offices.)

Date : 11-06-2021

Learned counsel for the parties desire the matter be taken up today.

Petitioner has prayed for the following relief(s):



- a) For issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing of the ex - parte order dated 02.02.2020 and summary of order in form GSI DRC - 07 dated 02.02.2020 under rule 142 (5) of the Bihar Goods And Services Tax Rules, 2017 (hereinafter referred to as the "Bihar rules 2017" for short) passed and issued by the respondent number 3 under section 74 (1) of the Bihar Goods And Service Tax Act, 2017 (hereinafter referred to as the "Bihar act 2017" for short);
- b) For issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing of the order dated 27.02.2021 passed by the respondent number 2 whereby the appeal preferred by the petitioner against the original order dated 02.02.2020 has been rejected on grounds of delay;
- c) For issuance of a writ or order or direction holding the action of the respondent number 3 already taken in terms of section 79 (1) (c) of the Bihar act 2017 for recovery of the amount of tax, interest and penalty imposed in terms of the order dated 02.02.2020 being illegal and without jurisdiction as it is not preceded by due compliance of the condition mandated in the proviso to section 78 of the Bihar act 2017;
- d) For issuance of a writ or order or direction restraining the respondent No. 3 from making any coercive recovery of the amount in demand (tax, interest and penalty) as contained in the order dated 02.02.2020 during the pendency of the present writ application;
- e) For further issuance of a writ or order or direction upon the respondent No. 3 to refund of the amount already recovered from the petitioner in exercise of powers under section 79 of the Bihar act 2017;
- f) For grant of any other relief or reliefs to which the petitioner is found entitled in the facts and circumstances of this case.

It is brought to our notice that post passing of the impugned order dated 2nd of February, 2020 by Respondent No. 3 namely The Joint Commissioner of State Taxes, Munger Circle, Munger in GSTIN



10BEIPD5481H1ZT, under Section 74 of the Bihar Goods And Service Tax Act, 2017, petitioner's bank account(s) also stands attached. Also, post passing of the impugned order dated 2nd of February, 2020, and summary of order in Form GST DRC-07 dated 2nd of February, 2020 passed by Respondent No. 3 namely The Joint Commissioner of State Taxes, Munger Circle, Munger in Reference No. ZA100220000275P under Rule 142 (5) of the Bihar Goods And Services Tax Rules, 2017, petitioner preferred an appeal bearing Appeal Case No. MGGST 12/20-21, which was rejected vide order dated 27th of February, 2021 by Respondent No. 2, namely The Additional Commissioner of State Taxes (Appeals), Bhagalpur Division, Bhagalpur, only on the ground of delay.

Learned counsel for the Revenue, on the ground of availability of equally alternative efficacious remedy vehemently opposed the prayer made on behalf of the petitioner.

However, having heard learned counsel for the parties as also perused the record made available, we are



of the considered view that this Court, notwithstanding the statutory remedy, is not precluded from interfering where, *ex facie*, we form an opinion that the order is bad in law. This we say so, for two reasons- (a) violation of principles of natural justice, i.e. Fair opportunity of hearing. No sufficient time was afforded to the petitioner to represent his case; (b) order of assessment passed *ex parte* in nature, does not assign any sufficient reasons even decipherable from the record, as to how the officer could determine the amount due and payable by the assessee. The order, *ex parte* in nature, passed in violation of the principles of natural justice, entails civil consequences. As such, on this short ground alone, we dispose of the present writ petition in the following mutually agreeable terms:

(a) We quash and set aside the impugned order dated 2nd of February, 2020 by Respondent No. 3 namely The Joint Commissioner of State Taxes, Munger Circle, Munger in GSTIN 10BEIPD5481H1ZT, under Section 74 of the Bihar Goods And Service Tax Act, 2017, summary of order in Form GST DRC-07 dated 2nd of February, 2020



passed by Respondent No. 3 namely The Joint Commissioner of State Taxes, Munger Circle, Munger in Reference No. ZA100220000275P; as also the appellate order dated 27th of February, 2021 passed by Respondent No. 2, namely The Additional Commissioner of State Taxes (Appeals), Bhagalpur Division, Bhagalpur in Appeal Case No. MGGST 12/20-21.

(b) We accept the statement of the petitioner that ten per cent of the total amount, being condition prerequisite for hearing of the appeal, already stands deposited;

(c) Further the petitioner undertakes to additionally deposit ten per cent of the amount of the demand raised before the Assessing Officer. This shall be done within four weeks.

(d) This deposit shall be without prejudice to the respective rights and contention of the parties and subject to the order passed by the Assessing Officer. However, if it is ultimately found that the petitioner's deposit is in excess, the same shall be refunded within



two months from the date of passing of the order;

(e) In this view of the matter, we also direct for de-freezing/de-attaching of the bank account(s) of the writ-petitioner attached in reference to the proceedings, subject matter of present petition. This shall be done immediately.

(f) Petitioner undertakes to appear before the Assessing Officer on 26th of July, 2021 at 10:30 A.M., if possible through digital mode;

(g) Opportunity of hearing shall be afforded to the parties to place on record all essential documents and materials, if so required and desired;

(h) The Assessing Officer shall pass a fresh order only after affording adequate opportunity to all concerned, including the writ petitioner;

(i) Petitioner through learned counsel undertakes to fully cooperate in such proceedings and not take unnecessary adjournment;

(j) The Assessing Officer shall decide the matter on merits expeditiously, preferably within a period of two months from the date of appearance of the



petitioner;

(k) Liberty reserved to the petitioner to challenge the order, if required and desired;

(l) Equally, liberty reserved to the parties to take recourse to such other remedies as are otherwise available in accordance with law;

(m) We are hopeful that as and when petitioner takes recourse to such remedies, before the appropriate forum, the same shall be dealt with, in accordance with law, with a reasonable dispatch;

(n) We have not expressed any opinion on merits and all issues are left open;

(o) If possible, proceedings during the time of current Pandemic [Covid-19] be conducted through digital mode;

(p) All other prayers are left open to be adjudicated if the petitioner so desires, in an appropriate proceedings;

The instant petition sands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.



Interlocutory Application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

Learned counsel for the respondents undertakes to communicate the order to the appropriate authority through electronic mode.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(S. Kumar, J)

Sujit/PKP-

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	12.06.2021
Transmission Date	

