

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6916 of 2020

=====

Sarwjeet Kumar, Son of Shivjee Singh, R/o Village- Suara, Post- Pahleja,
P.S.- Dihri, District- Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Chairman Cum Managing Director, South Bihar, Power Distribution Company Limited Bailey Road, Patna.
2. The General Manager, (Human Resources and Administration) South Bihar Power Distribution Company Limited, Patna.
3. The Chief Engineer-cum- Enquiry Officer, South Bihar Power Distribution Company Limited, Patna.
4. The Executive Engineer, Dehri Electricity Board, District- Rohtas.
5. The Assistant Engineer, Dihri Sub- Division, Dihri, District- Rohtas.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Anirudh Pandey, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Vinay Kirti Singh, Advocate
		Mr. Vijay Kumar Verma, Advocate
		Mr. Akhileshwar Singh, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT
(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR)

Date : 23-11-2021

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Petitioner has prayed for the following relief(s):-

“(I) To issue an appropriate order/s, direction/s including a writ preferably in the nature of CERTIORARI for quashing the order dated 03.09.2016 passed by District Public Grievance Redressal Officer, Rohtas in Complaint No. 432110128071600599 dated 28.07.2016 whereby and where under rejected the claim of the father of petitioner.
(II) To direct the respondents to correct the Electric bill of the father of the petitioner because the father of petitioner has taken seasonal connection for irrigation of Rabbi Crops of 2 H.P. but the Electricity bill has been sent of 5 H.P.
(III) The petitioner seeks indulgence of the Hon’ble Court to grant him any other relief/s to which he is entitled in the

facts and circumstances of the case.”

The counsel for the State has submitted that petitioner has filed this writ petition against the order passed by the District Public Grievance Redressal Officer, Rohtas in the year 2016 and as such, present writ petition is fit to be dismissed on ground of delay and laches and also without exhausting the statutory remedy of appeal against the impugned order.

The writ petition is disposed of with liberty to petitioner to approach the appellate authority against the impugned order, if so advised.

The writ petition is accordingly disposed of.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(S. Kumar, J)

Rajiv/veena-

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	
Transmission Date	