

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.910 of 1998

1. Prabhu Ram, son of Late Shiv Nandan Ram.
 2. Nathuni Ram, son of Late Raghunandan Ram
- Both are residents of village-Sahmalwa, P.S.-Baruraj, District-Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar.
2. The Director of Consolidation, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Joint Director of Consolidation, Muzaffarpur.
4. Jokhan Ram.
5. Lakhoo Ram.
6. Ram Lakhan Ram
7. Bhagirath Ram.
8. Jagrup Ram.

S.Nos. 4 to 8 are sons of Late Ram Karan Ram, resident of village-Sahmalwa, P.S.-Baruraj, District-Muzaffarpur.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Raghav Prasad, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Gyan Shankar, AC to GP-2
For Pvt. Respondents	:	Mr. Vijay Kumar Singh, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 25-11-2021

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned counsel for the respondents.

2. The present writ petition has been filed with a prayer for quashing the order dated 03.10.1997 passed by the Joint Director of Consolidation, Muzaffarpur in Revision Case No. 7 of 1996 (Annexure-6).

3. Learned counsel for the respondents, at the outset itself, invites reference to Section 9 of Bihar Land Tribunal Act, 2009 which provides that the Tribunal shall have the power to entertain any application against the final order passed by the



appropriate authorities under various enactments, inter alia, including the Bihar Consolidation of Holdings and Prevention of Fragmentation Act, 1956. It is therefore, submitted that the issues arising out of the impugned order can well be adjudicated by the Tribunal.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners does not dispute the above proposition and has no objection in this regard.

5. The Registry is accordingly directed to transmit the records of this case to the Tribunal forthwith, in view of the proviso to Section 15 of the Bihar Land Tribunal Act, 2009.

6. It is expected that the Tribunal, having regard to the long period during which the case has remained pending, shall take steps for its expeditious disposal, preferably within a period of one year.

(Vikash Jain, J)

V.K.Pandey/-

AFR/NAFR	N.A.F.R.
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	26.11.2021
Transmission Date	N.A.

