

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
(FROM OFFICIAL CHAMBERS VIA VIDEO APPLICATION)
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.28420 of 2021**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-343 Year-2019 Thana- RIVILGANJ District- Saran

BHIM SINGH @ RAKESH SINGH, Son of Mukur Singh, Resident of
Village - Nayaka Barka Baiju Tola, P.S. - Rivilganj, District - Saran at Chapra.
... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Dewendra Narayan Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Akhileshwar Dayal, A.P.P.

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER**

2 22-10-2021 Learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes to remove
all the defects as pointed out by office within four weeks after start of
normal functioning of the Court.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr.
Akhileshwar Dayal, learned A.P.P. for the State.

Petitioner in the present case is seeking regular bail in
connection with Rivilganj P.S. Case No. 343 of 2019 registered for
the offence punishable under Sections 188, 272, 34 of the Indian
Penal Code and Section 30, 38, 41 of the Bihar Prohibition and
Excise Act. He is in custody since 11.01.2021. Petitioner has got nine
criminal antecedents as stated in paragraph '3' of the application.

As per the prosecution story, on secret information while
the informant was involved in vehicle checking intercepted a car and
recovered 63 litres of country made wine from the vehicle in
question. The driver of the vehicle on interrogation disclosed the
name of the petitioner and others to be involved in supply of liquor.



Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. It is submitted that the petitioner has no concern with the vehicle in question and his name has been disclosed by the apprehended driver for which he is in custody since 11.01.2021.

Mr. Akhileshwar Dayal, learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner. It is submitted that petitioner is accused in nine cases and out of which four cases of similar nature.

Having regard to the kind of criminal antecedents of the petitioner which includes four cases of similar nature and this being fifth case in a row, this Court is not inclined to release the petitioner on bail at this stage.

Let the trial be expedited.

If the trial remains unconcluded for no reason attributable to the petitioner within a period of six months from the date of communication of this order, he may renew his prayer for bail.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

vats/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

Note: The ordersheet duly signed has been attached with the record. However, in view of the present arrangements, during Pandemic period all concerned shall act on the basis of the copy of the order uploaded on the High Court website under the heading 'Judicial Orders Passed During The Pandemic Period'.

