

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6833 of 2020

=====

Rijvana @ Rijvana Perween Wife of Asad Reza, Resident of Village- Taran,
Ward No. 13, P.O.- Duba, P.S.- Jokihat, District- Araria.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principle Secretary, Food and Supply Department, Bihar, Patna.
2. Director, Food and Supply Department, Bihar, Patna.
3. District Magistrate Araria, District- Araria.
4. Sub Divisional Officer Araria, District- Araria.
5. District Supply Officer Araria, District- Araria.
6. Block Supply Officer Jokihat, District- Araria.
7. Bibi Salma Begum, Wife of Rahmat, resident of Village- Taran, Ward No. 13, P.O.- Duba, P.S. Jokihat, District- Araria.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Md. Ziaul Quamar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Arvind Ujjwal, SC-4

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT
(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date : 23-11-2021

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Petitioner has prayed for the following relief(s):-

- I. For the issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus to the respondent set aside the selection of the Respondent no. 7 as a PDS Dealer by District Magistrate Araria.
- II. For issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus to directed to Respondent select the petitioner on the basis of earlier selection by the District Selection Committee on the post of the PDS Dealer .
- III. To grant any other relief(s) to which the petitioner is found entitled in the facts and circumstances of the case .



After the matter was heard for some time, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, under instructions, states that petitioner may be permitted to prefer an appeal against the impugned order before the Appellate Authority.

Permission granted.

Learned counsel for the respondents states that if such an appeal is preferred within a period of four weeks from today, the issue of limitation, if any, shall neither be raised nor allowed to come in the way of adjudication of the appeal on merits.

Statement accepted and taken on record.

As such, petition stands disposed of in the following mutually agreeable terms:-

(a) Petitioner is permitted to prefer an appeal within a period of four weeks from today;

(b) In the event of appeal being preferred within a period of four weeks from today, the issue of limitation shall not come in the way of adjudication of the appeal on merits;

(c) Opportunity shall be granted to the parties to place on record all essential documents and materials, if so



required and desired;

(d) Petitioner through learned counsel undertakes to fully cooperate and not take unnecessary adjournment;

(e) The Appellate Authority shall decide the appeal on merits, in compliance of the principles of natural justice;

(f) The Appellate Authority shall pass a reasoned and speaking order, within a period of eight weeks from the date of filing of the appeal copy whereof be supplied to the parties;

(g) Equally, liberty reserved to the parties to take recourse to such other remedies as are otherwise available in accordance with law;

(h) We are hopeful that as and when petitioner takes recourse to such remedies, as are otherwise available in law, before the appropriate forum, the same shall be dealt with, in accordance with law and with reasonable dispatch;

(i) We have not expressed any opinion on merits and all issues are left open;

(j) Liberty reserved to the petitioner to challenge the order, if required and desired.

The instant petition sands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.



Interlocutory Application(s), if any, stands disposed
of.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(S. Kumar, J)

Amrendra/PKP

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	26.11.2021
Transmission Date	

