

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6712 of 2020

=====

Nandani Kumari @ Nandani Devi Wife of Sri Upendra Singh Resident of Village Barun Tola Ward No.12 under Gram Panchayat and P.O. Suryapura Block- Suryapura, District- Rohtas at Sasaram.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Social Welfare, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Directors, Directorate of Integrated Child Development School Department of Social Welfare, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Rohtas at Sasaram.
4. The District Programme Officer, Rohtas at Sasaram.
5. The Child Development Programme Officer, Block Suryapura District Rohtas at Sasaram.
6. The Lady Supervisor cum Member Secretary of Ward No.12 of Gram Panchayat Suryapura Block Sryapra, P.O. Surapura District Rohtas at Sasaram
7. The Head Master, Rajkiya Middle School Sarawan Block and P.O. Dinara, District Rohtas.
8. Nilam Devi Wife of Sri Gorakh Nath Singh Resident of Village Barun P.O. Suryapura District Rohtas at Sasaram.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Raghunandan Kumar Singh
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Kumari Amrita (Gp3)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 20-12-2021

Heard the learned counsels for the parties.

2. In the instant petition, petitioner has prayed for following reliefs:

“1. That this is an application for issuance of an appropriate writ(s), order(s) or directions (s) for quashing of the order dated 09.12.2019 issued vide memo no. 1586 by the Respondent no. 4 by which the claim of the petitioner has been rejected in



respect of the selection of the Respondent no. 8 as Anganwari Sevika of Ward No. 12 of Gram Panchayat Suryapura Block Suryapura within the District of Rohtas at Sasaram on the bass of fraudulent document b suppressing the earlier date of birth and further direct the respondents to consider the case of the petitioner accordingly.”

3. The petitioner has not exhausted the remedy of statutory appeal before the appellate authority. Thus, present petition is pre-mature.

4. Accordingly, the instant petition stands disposed of reserving liberty to the petitioner to prefer appeal before the appellate authority. The appellate authority is hereby directed to take note of Section 14 of the Limitation Act for the purpose of condonation of delay in presenting the appeal. Petitioner's appeal to be filed shall be considered and disposed of after due opportunity to the respondent no. 8 within a period of four months from the date of receipt of petitioner's memorandum of appeal.

(P. B. Bajanthri, J)

rakhi/-

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	
Transmission Date	

