

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5539 of 2020

=====

Jagat Narayan Sinha son of Raghunandan Prasad resident of village- Patel
Nagar, P.s.- Rajgir, District- Nalanda

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Human Resources
Development Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
2. The Director, Primary Education, Bihar, Patna
3. The Divisional Commissioner, Magadh Division, Gaya
4. The District Magistrate, Gaya
5. The District Education Officer, Gaya
6. The District Programme Officer Mass Education Communication, Gaya
7. The Block Education Officer, Mohra, Gaya

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Sanjay Prasad, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Prabhakar Jha (GP27)
		Mr. Umesh Narayan Dubey, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 03-12-2021

Heard Mr. Sanjay Prasad, learned Advocate for
the petitioner and Mr. Umesh Narayan Dubey for the
respondents.

This petition has been filed for a direction to the
respondents to make payment to the petitioner of his
admitted dues with interest.

It has been submitted that the petitioner was
entrusted with the task of completing the construction



work of four classrooms in the school building of primary school, Mahapur under Mohara Block in the district of Gaya. The petitioner incidentally is the Headmaster of that school.

It has been submitted that despite the petitioner having completed the work, he has not been paid the money to which he is entitled. Even the Commissioner, Gaya has passed an order, according to the learned counsel for the petitioner, directing the District Education Officer, Gaya to make payment of the dues amount of the petitioner.

Several representations made by the petitioner before the Block Education Officer, Mohara, Gaya and District Programme Officer, Mass Education (Communication), Gaya has fallen on deaf ears.

Based on the aforesaid submissions made on behalf of the petitioner, this Court directs the petitioner to make a fresh representation before the respondent no. 6 within a period of four weeks, charting out in detail the



amount to which he is entitled and on receipt of such representation, the respondent no. 6 shall pass a reasoned order within a further period of three months. If at all the petitioner is entitled to be paid, necessary sequel action shall be taken in that regard.

It goes without saying that respondent no. 6 shall first verify the entitlement of the petitioner and then pass any order.

With the aforesaid direction/observation, the writ petition is disposed off.

(Ashutosh Kumar, J)

krishna/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	04.12.2021
Transmission Date	

