

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.28214 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-399 Year-2020 Thana- MAHUA District- Vaishali

=====

RANJEET RAI @ RANJEETA RAI SON OF RAVINDRA RAI @
RAJENDRA RAY Resident of Village - Rasulpur Fatah, P.s.- Goraul, Distt.-
Vaishali.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sunil Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Bhanu Pratap Singh, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 06-12-2021 Heard Mr. Sunil Kumar Singh, learned counsel for

the petitioner and Mr. Bhanu Pratap Singh, learned Additional

Public Prosecutor appearing for the State.

Petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with

Mahua P.S. Case No. 399 of 2020 registered for the offences

punishable under Sections 304(B) and 201/34 of the Indian

Penal Code 1860.

The allegation as per the First information Report is

that the daughter of the informant was married to Sanjay Manjhi

and it has been alleged that sometime after the marriage, Sanjay

Manjhi started demanding a Motorcycle and due to non-

fulfillment of the dowry demand, he had threatened to kill the

daughter of the informant. It has further been alleged that on



15.7.2020 at about 12:30 p.m. the informant got information on phone regarding the death of her daughter and when the informant reached at the place of funeral along with the Police, Sanjay Manjhi, the husband of the deceased along with other persons, fled away.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has not committed any offence in the manner alleged and he has falsely been implicated in this case due to oblique motive. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner is not the family member of the husband of the deceased and he is resident of another village and not of the village where the daughter of the informant was married. Learned counsel next submits that during course of investigation, no material has come against the petitioner and charge sheet has been submitted under Sections 302 and 201/34 of the Indian Penal Code. Learned counsel next submits that the petitioner has got no criminal antecedent and he is in custody since 8.11.2020.

Regards being had to the submission made by the the parties and taking into consideration the materials on record, the fact that the petitioner is not the family member of the husband of the deceased, no overt act has been alleged against the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 8.11.2020



and charge sheet has already been submitted in the matter, I am inclined to grant regular bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, let the petitioner, above named, be released on regular bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Vaishali at Hajipur, in connection with Mahua P.S. Case No. 399 of 2021.

It is made clear that at the time of furnishing bail bonds all the parties shall follow the guidelines regarding social distancing.

(Anil Kumar Sinha, J)

S.Ali/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

