

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CIVIL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION No.467 of 2020

Bansh Narayan Mishra Son of Late Jamnuna Mishra, Resident of Village and P.o.- Mahila, P.s.- Kudhani, District- Kaimur (Bhabhua), At present resident of F-24, Phase-2, Near Sangam Apartment, Ashiana Nagar, Patna-800025

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Bihar
2. The Collector-cum-District Magistrate, Kaimur, Bhabhua
3. The Additional District Magistrate, Buxar
4. The Deputy Collector, Land Reforms, Mohania, Kaimur (Bhabhua)
5. The Circle Officer, Nuawan, District- Kaimur (Bhabhua)
6. The Superintendent of Police, Kaimur, Bhabhua
7. Shivdulari Devi Wife of Late Shailendra Kumar Pandey Resident of Village and P.o.- Manikpur, P.s.- Dhanroi, District- Kaimur
8. Sonpati Devi wife of Late Narmdeshwar Pandey Resident of Village-Kalyanpur, P.s.- Durgawati, District- Kaimur
9. Kanti Devi Wife of Late Ashok Upadhya, Resident of Dildar Nagar, P.s.- Dildar Nagar, District- Gajipur (U.P.)
10. Phoolpati Devi Wife of Sri Triyogi Narayan Pandey Resident of Village and P.o.- Nagsar, District- Gajipur (U.P.)
11. Madan Mishra, Son of late Triyogi Narayan Mishra, Resident of Village and P.o.- Mahila, P.s.- Kudhani, District- Kaimur (Bhabhua)
12. Chhotan Mishra Son of late Triyogi Narayan Mishra, Resident of Village and P.o.- Mahila, P.s.- Kudhani, District- Kaimur (Bhabhua)

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Shailendra Kumar Verma
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Md. Asif Kalim, AC to AAG-12.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAWNEET KUMAR PANDEY

ORAL ORDER

2 16-11-2021 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as

learned counsel for the State of Bihar-respondents.

From perusal of the impugned order dated 08.06.2016
(Annexure-21), it reveals that the application under Order 39

Rule 1 for interim injunction is pending before the Court below. Vide impugned order, the learned Court below has also directed to file rejoinder of the petition of interim injunction. Till hearing of the temporary injunction petition, the Court below has directed both the parties to maintain status quo on the disputed land.

The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that instead of delivering the order of status quo, the learned Court below should have delivered its final order on compromise petition which has already been filed in the Court below.

If it is so, the learned Court below should expedite the matter. I do not think it proper to interfere with the order of status quo at this stage.

With these observations, this Civil Misc. petition is being disposed of.

(Nawneet Kumar Pandey , J)

sanjeev/-

U			
---	--	--	--