

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.33033 of 2021**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-151 Year-2012 Thana- KUDHNI District- Muzaffarpur

Bharat Sahni Son of Late Ramsingar Sahni R/O Village- Madhopur Chikani,
P.S.- Kurahani, District- Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ramchandra Sahni, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rajeev Nayan, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER**

4 08-12-2021

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner has renewed his prayer for bail in a case registered under sections 302, 307, 324 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code and section 27 of the Arms Act.

The earlier application for bail of the petitioner was rejected vide orders dated 30.11.2018, 4.9.2019 and 6.7.2020.

The allegation against the petitioner is of having fired on the deceased causing his death.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 13.6.2018 and there is no chance of the trial concluding in the near future. Only four witnesses have been examined on behalf of the prosecution and as per instructions received nine more witnesses remain to be examined. Even the witnesses who have been examined have



not supported the allegations against this petitioner. The deposition of P.W.1, P.W.2, P.W. 3 and P.W.4 have been brought on record.

Heard learned A.P.P. for the State.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the allegation against the petitioner, the Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail and the application is rejected.

Taking into consideration the period in custody, learned trial Court is directed to expedite the trial. In case there is no substantial progress in the trial, liberty is granted to the petitioner to renew his prayer for bail after six months.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

Bibhash/-

U			
---	--	--	--

