IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8206 of 2021

==

Juno Bitumix Private Limited a company incorporated under the Companies Act 1956 having its office at A-3, 2nd Floor, Luv Kush Tower, Exhibition Road, Patna through its Manager, Prabhat Ranjan Jha (Male) (aged about 41 years) son of Shri. Satya Narayan Jha, resident of village Amanpur, Post Charaut, Charaut, P.S- Charaut, Sitamarhi, Bihar.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Commissioner of State Tax, having its office at Vikas Bhawan Bailey Road, Patna.
2. Addl. Commissioner of State Tax (Appeal), Central Division, Patna, Bihar.
3. Deputy Commissioner of State Tax, Special Circle, Patna.

... ... Respondent/s

==

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.D.V.Pathy, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Vikash Kumar, SC-11
For the Union of India	:	Dr. K.N.Singh, Addl. S.G.
		Mr. Anshuman Singh, Sr. S.C., CGST & CX

==

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT
(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date : 30-06-2021

Our attention is invited to the Circular dated 11th of August, 2020, issued by the Government of India, Ministry of Finance, Department of Revenue (State Taxes-I Section), and Circular dated 27th of October, 2020, issued by the

WEB COPY

Government of India, Ministry of Finance, Department of Revenue (Central Board of Indirect taxes & Customs) Legal Cell, copy whereof is taken on record.

In view of the same, Union of India be impleaded as Party Respondent No. 4.

Registry to make necessary correction, both on the digital as also the hard file.

Dr. K.N. Singh, learned Additional Solicitor General and Shri Anshuman Singh, Sr. S.C., CGST & CX enter appearance on behalf of the newly added respondents.

Petitioner has prayed for the following relief(s):

> (i) the order dated 28.09.2019 (as contained in Annexure-3) for the period March 2019 passed by the respondent no. 3 under section 62 of the Bihar Goods and Services Tax Act, 2017 (hereinafter called the BGST Act) without service of notice under section 46 of the BGST Act the quashed.
>
> (ii) the summary of order dated 28.08.2019 (as contained in Annexure-2) for the tax period March 2019 passed by the respondent no.3 in Form GST DRC-07 without service of notice under section 46 of the BGST Act the quashed.
>
> (iii) the order dated 04.09.2020 (as contained in Annexure-6) for the tax period March 2019 passed by the respondent no.2 dismissing the appeal on the solitary ground of delay in filing of the appeal be quashed
>
> (iv) for granting any other relief(s) to which the

WEB COPY

petitioner is otherwise found entitled to.

It is brought to our notice that vide impugned order dated 04.09.2020 passed by the Respondent No. 2 namely the Additional Commissioner of State Taxes (Appeal), Central Division, Patna, Patna in Appeal Case No. AD100820000419Q (Annexure-6), the appeal of the petitioner against the order dated 28.08.2019 in GSTIN- 10AACCJ1657K1ZS passed by the Respondent No. 3 namely the Deputy Commissioner of State Tax, Special Circle, Patna and Summary of Order in Form GST DRC-07 dated 28.08.2019 in Reference No. ZA100819002337S has been rejected merely on the grounds of being barred by limitation. Both the orders were *ex parte* in nature.

In our considered view, the delay stands sufficiently explained on account of COVID restrictions.

Learned counsel for the Revenue, states that he has no objection if the matter is remanded to the Assessing Authority for deciding the case afresh. Also, the case shall be decided on merits. Also, during pendency of the case, no coercive steps shall be taken against the petitioner.

Statement accepted and taken on record.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties as also

WEB COPY

perused the record made available, we dispose of the present petition in the following mutually agreeable terms:-

(a) We quash and set aside the impugned order dated 04.09.2020 passed by the Respondent No. 2 namely the Additional Commissioner of State Taxes (Appeal), Central Division, Patna, Patna in Appeal Case No. AD100820000419Q (Annexure-6), the order dated 28.08.2019 in GSTIN-10AACCJ1657K1ZS passed by the Respondent No. 3 namely the Deputy Commissioner of State Tax, Special Circle, Patna and Summary of Order in Form GST DRC-07 dated 28.08.2019 in Reference No. ZA100819002337S;

(b) We accept the statement of the petitioner that ten per cent of the total amount, being condition prerequisite for hearing of the appeal, already stands deposited. If that were so, well and good. However, if the amount is not deposited for whatever reason(s), same shall be done before the next date;

(c) Further the petitioner undertakes to additionally deposit ten per cent of the amount of the demand raised before the Assessing Officer. This shall be done within four weeks.

(d) This deposit shall be without prejudice to the respective rights and contention of the parties and subject to

WEB COPY

the order passed by the Assessing Officer. However, if it is ultimately found that the petitioner's deposit is in excess, the same shall be refunded within two months from the date of passing of the order;

(e) We also direct for de-freezing/de-attaching of the bank account(s) of the writ-petitioner, if attached in reference to the proceedings, subject matter of present petition. This shall be done immediately.

(f) Petitioner undertakes to appear before the Assessing Authority on 9th of August, 2021 at 10:30 A.M., if possible through digital mode;

(g) The Assessing Authority shall decide the case on merits after complying with the principles of natural justice;

(h) Opportunity of hearing shall be afforded to the parties to place on record all essential documents and materials, if so required and desired;

(i) During pendency of the case, no coercive steps shall be taken against the petitioner.

(j) The Assessing Authority shall pass a fresh order only after affording adequate opportunity to all concerned, including the writ petitioner;

(k) Petitioner through learned counsel undertakes to

WEB COPY

fully cooperate in such proceedings and not take unnecessary adjournment;

(l) The Assessing Authority shall decide the case on merits expeditiously, preferably within a period of two months from the date of appearance of the petitioner;

(m) Liberty reserved to the petitioner to challenge the order, if required and desired;

(n) Equally, liberty reserved to the parties to take recourse to such other remedies as are otherwise available in accordance with law;

(o) We are hopeful that as and when petitioner takes recourse to such remedies, before the appropriate forum, the same shall be dealt with, in accordance with law, with a reasonable dispatch;

(p) We have not expressed any opinion on merits and all issues are left open;

(q) If possible, proceedings during the time of current Pandemic [Covid-19] be conducted through digital mode;

The instant petition sands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

Interlocutory Application(s), if any, also stands

WEB COPY

disposed of.

Learned counsel for the respondents undertakes to communicate the order to the appropriate authority through electronic mode.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(S. Kumar, J)

Ashwini/-

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	07.07.2021
Transmission Date	

WEB COPY