

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.33041 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-91 Year-2020 Thana- CHAORI District- Bhojpur

-
1. PRAMOD SINGH SON OF LATE HARI NANDAN SINGH
 2. RAVI SHANKAR KUMAR SON OF PRAMOD SINGH BOTH R/O VILLAGE- BAHUARA CHHAPRA, P.S.- CHAURI, DIST.- BHOJPUR

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Awadhesh Prasad Sinha
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Rajiv Nayan

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

4 30-11-2021 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
counsel for the State.

Petitioners seek bail in connection Chauri P.S. Case no.
91 of 2020 registered for the offence punishable under sections
304B and 201/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that
petitioners are in custody since 30.10.2020 and petitioner no1 is
father-in-law and petitioner no.2 is brother-in-law of the
deceased. He further submits that from perusal of the allegation
as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that the informant
alleges that his daughter was married to Deepak Singh in the
year 2015 and after marriage demand of dowry started
whereafter it is alleged that deceased came back to her father's



house in Holi. Further while deceased was at the house of the informant she came to know that her husband Deepak Singh had married to sister-in-law of his younger brother (Ravi Shankar Kumar) petitioner no.2. It is further alleged that on 29.10.2020 informant instituted this FIR on coming to know that dead body was lying near Bandh near Sone river and when he along with villagers went there he saw the petitioners and Deepak Singh and on seeing the informant with villagers, they fled away thereafter, body of the deceased was taken out from river. It is further alleged in the FIR that 08 days prior to 29.10.2020 daughter of the informant was missing. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that informant specifically alleges that his daughter was missing about last 08 days but he remained silent and he did not institute any case relating to missing of his daughter. Further, learned counsel submits that allegation that Deepak Singh married sister-in-law of petitioner no.2 as such there can not be any animosity between the petitioners and the deceased, further learned counsel submits that whatever happened happen when deceased was in the house of the informant and it is submitted that from perusal of the allegation it appears that petitioners have been falsely



implicated by robing them with allegation that when the informant reached near river Sone, he saw the petitioners along with Deepak Singh fleeing as such it is alleged that it was the petitioners who killed the deceased.

Learned APP vehemently opposed the prayer for bail.

Considering the facts that petitioners are father-in-law and brother-in-law of the deceased and charge sheet has been submitted, the petitioners are directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Mrs. Suman Kumari, Judicial Magistrate, Ist Class, Bhojpur at Ara in Chauri P.S. Case no. 91 of 2020.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

s.hassan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

