

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.13051 of 2020

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-906 Year-2019 Thana- NAWADA District- Nawada

Chandan Kumar @ Chandan Singh, Son of Ranjan Singh @ Rajan Singh,
Resident of Village - Mallipur, P.S.- Akbarpur, District - Nawada.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sheo Kumar Prasad, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Renuka Ratnakar, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJENDRA KUMAR
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

5 20-02-2021 Heard learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the
learned A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with
Nawada P.S. Case No. 906 of 2019, registered under Sections 504,
506 and 387 of the Indian Penal Code, pending in the court of Chief
Judicial Magistrate, Nawada.

The accusation is that informant, Md. Daud Khan, is the
Manager of Jai Mata Di Enterprises, engaged in extracting the sand.
On 02.08.2019, at about 8.20 P.M., he received a call on his mobile
no. 9934440367 from mobile no. 9955640994, the caller disclosed
his name as Chandan Kumar (petitioner) and started to abuse
denoting his caste. Before 20 minutes, Ramdhin Yadav, Munsif of
Lakhpathbigha Sand Ghat informed that Chandan Singh (petitioner)
and 4 to 5 unknown had come in the office and made demand of



Rs.1,00,000/- as ransom and damaged the office, they took away cash and giving threatening of dire consequences and also made firing.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, in fact, petitioner had gone to purchase the sand at the Sand Ghat, where hot talk exchanged regarding the price of sand but informant with ulterior motive lodged the present case with false allegation. Further submission is that while petitioner is accused in four other cases but in all cases, he is on bail except Nagar P.S. Case No. 925 of 2019, registered for the offence punishable under Sections 147, 148, 149, 307, 323, 504 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code.

Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case and the nature of allegation against the petitioner, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, his prayer for grant of anticipatory bail stands rejected. The petitioner is directed to surrender before the trial court within four weeks and pray for regular bail, which would be considered by the trial court in accordance with law without being prejudiced by the order of this Court.

(Rajendra Kumar Mishra, J)

Bhardwaj/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

