

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.9836 of 2019

Vikas Kumar @ Vikas Kr. Singh Son of Sri Raj Kumar Singh, Permanent Resident of Village- Sahwajpur, P.S. and P.O. Jehanabad, District- Jehanabad, at Present Resides at Flat No. D/11, R B I Staff Quarter, Bahadurpur Housing Colony, P.S. Agamkuan, Patna- 800026, Bihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Syndicate Bank through its Chairman-Cum-Managing Director Head Office-Manipal, District- Udupi, Karnataka- 576104.
2. The Disciplinary Authority, through Asstt. General Manager(HR), Industrial Relations Divisions, Manipal, Karnataka- 576104.
3. Regional Manager, Synidcate Bank, 2nd Floor, D H Tower, Bamuni Maidan, P.S. Chandmari, Guwahati- 781021

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Arup Kumar Chongdar
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Sanjiv Kumar.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 21-12-2021

Heard learned counsels for respective parties.

In the instant petition, petitioner has prayed for the following reliefs:-

“(i) For issuance of writ of certiorari or any other appropriate writ, order or direction for quashing of enquiry report dated 02.09.2017 whereby and where under the inquiry Officer had held that the charges levelled against the petitioner found proved. A copy of enquiry report dated 02.09.2017 is annexed herewith and marked as Annexure 1 to this petition.
(ii) For issuance of writ of certiorari or any other appropriate writ or order or direction for quashing the consequential order of dismissal dated- 28.11.2017. A copy of order of



dismissal dated 28.11.2017 is annexed herewith and marked as Annexure 2 to this petition.

(iii) For issuance of writ of certiorari or any other writ or order or direction for quashing of order dated-15.10.2018 whereby and where under the service appeal was rejected by the appellate authority. A copy of order dated 15.10.2018 is annexed herewith as Annexure 3 to this petition.

(iv) For issuance of appropriate writ or order or direction upon the respondents to reinstate the petitioner on the same post of Assistant Manager with effect from the date of dismissal with all consequential benefits. And/or any other relief/reliefs as this Hon'ble Court may think fit and proper.”

Undisputedly, this Court has no territorial jurisdiction having regard to the impugned orders. The petitioner has remedy before territorial jurisdiction of Karnataka, therefore, the present petition stands dismissed on the ground that this Court has no territorial jurisdiction to entertain the petitioner’s grievance. Petitioner is at liberty to approach the appropriate forum.

(P. B. Bajanthri, J)

Vikash/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFAR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	
Transmission Date	N/A

