

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.24514 of 2021**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-568 Year-2020 Thana- SITAMARHI District- Sitamarhi

RAVI KUMAR CHAUDHARY @ RAVI KUMAR, aged about 20 years,
Gender-Male, S/O- Shyam Chaudhary @ Ram Shyam Chaudhary, Resident of
Village - Bariyarpur, P.S. - Sitamarhi, District - Sitamarhi.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Alok Kumar Alok, Advocate.
For the Opposite Party : Mr. A.G.

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
ORAL ORDER**

2 05-07-2021 Learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to
remove the defects, as pointed out by the office, within a period
of four weeks from the date of restoration of normalcy.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State through virtual mode.

The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a case for
the offence registered under Sections 30(a) and 36 of the Bihar
Prohibition and Excise Act, 2016.

The prosecution story, in brief, is that total 448.2 liters
wine is said to have been recovered from the open field in
abandoned state.

It has been submitted by learned counsel for the
petitioner that the petitioner has got no criminal antecedent. He



has falsely been implicated in the present case. There is no allegation of tampering with the witnesses alleged against the petitioner. It is alleged that total 448.2 liters wine is recovered from the open field in abandoned state. The open field in question does not belong to the petitioner. The name of the petitioner has transpired in the present case on the basis of disclosure made by local residents. The names of the local residents, who have named the petitioner, have not been disclosed by the prosecution. Except for this, there is no other substantive evidence to suggest the implication of the petitioner in this case. Nothing incriminating has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. The petitioner had no knowledge regarding the alleged incident. There is no compliance of Section 100 Cr. P.C.

On behalf of the State, it is submitted that the petitioner is named in the F.I.R/ Complaint Case.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner above named, in the event of arrest or surrender before the learned court below within a period of twelve weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on his personal bond to the satisfaction of the learned A.D.J.-II-cum-Special Judge, Excise Act, Sitamarhi, in connection with



Sitamarhi P.S. Case No. 568/20, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

Once the normalcy is restored, the petitioner shall furnish bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand) only with two sureties of the like amount each within a period of eight weeks to the satisfaction of the court concerned in connection with the aforesaid case.

(Sudhir Singh, J)

U.K./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

