

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.24880 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-63 Year-2020 Thana- SUPAUL District- Supaul

Rajesh Yadav Son Of Hareram Yadav R/O Village- Mokna Ward No.12, P.S.-
Bihra, District- Saharsa.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Dinesh Prasad Verma

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Pramod Kumar Pandey, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 27-07-2021 This matter is taken up for consideration through

Video Conferencing under the orders of Hon'ble the Chief

Justice.

Heard both parties.

The petitioner seeks bail in Supaul P.S. Case No. 63
of 2020, registered for the offence punishable under Section 395
of the Indian Penal Code.

As per the prosecution case, six accused persons on
three motorcycle forcibly stopped the vehicle of informant and
looted cash and other articles from possession of informant and
others.

It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that
petitioner is not named in the FIR. Name of this petitioner has
come in this case on the basis of confessional statement of co-
accused. Nothing has been recovered from possession of this



petitioner. No test identification parade has been held till date. Petitioner is in custody since 20.05.2020. Similarly situated co-accused has been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 29.06.2020 passed in Cr. Misc.No. 4499 of 2021. Investigation is complete.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner above-named, is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Supaul in connection with Supaul P.S. Case No. 63 of 2020, subject to following conditions:-

(i) The petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and in the event of failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reasons, his bail bond shall be liable to be cancelled by the court below.

(ii) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

vinita/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

