

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5487 of 2020**

=====

Ravish Kumar Son of Vinay Kumar Sharma, Resident of Village - Fatehpur,  
P.S.- Belaganj, District- Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Secretary, Food and Consumer Protection Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate cum-Collector, Gaya.
3. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Gaya Sadar, Gaya.
4. The District Co-operative Officer, Gaya.
5. The Block Supply Officer, Belaganj, Gaya.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

|                      |   |                           |
|----------------------|---|---------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s | : | Mr.Sunil Pathak, Adv      |
| For the Respondent/s | : | Mr.Arvind Ujjwal (SC4)    |
|                      |   | Mr. U.P. Singh AC to SC-4 |

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE**  
**and**  
**HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR**  
**ORAL JUDGMENT**  
**(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR)**

**Date : 23-11-2021**

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Petitioner has prayed for the following relief(s):-

“That this application is being filed for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari, for quashing of order dated 02.08.2017 and 15.11.2017, contained in memo no. 4192, 803 respectively passed by the Sub Divisional Officer, Gaya Sadar, where by he has cancelled the PDS licence No. 6/12 of the petitioner and for further setting aside the order dated 04.12.2018 passed by the D.M. Gaya, in supply Case No. 25/18 where by he had dismissed the petitioner’s appeal confirming the above said order.”

The order for cancellation of license was passed by

Licensing Authority on 02.08.2017 and 15.11.2017 against which petitioner preferred an appeal before the Appellate Authority, D.M. Gaya and same was dismissed on 04.12.2018 on the ground of unexplained delay in filing the appeal and thereafter, petitioner has preferred this writ petition in the year 2020, as such, this Court is not inclined to interfere in the order passed by the Licensing Authority as well as Appellate Authority.

Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed.

Interlocutory application, if any, stands disposed of.

**(Sanjay Karol, CJ)**

**( S. Kumar, J)**

ranjan/-

|                   |      |
|-------------------|------|
| AFR/NAFR          | NAFR |
| CAV DATE          | NA   |
| Uploading Date    |      |
| Transmission Date | NA   |